arXiv:1408.4289v1 [math.DS] 19 Aug 2014

RENORMALIZATION OF THREE DIMENSIONAL HÉNON MAP I : REDUCTION OF AMBIENT SPACE

YOUNG WOO NAM

Abstract. Three dimensional analytic Hénon-like map

$$F(x, y, z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z),\, x,\, \delta(x, y, z))$$

and its *period doubling* renormalization is defined. If F is infinitely renormalizable map, Jacobian determinant of n^{th} renormalized map, $R^n F$ has asymptotically universal expression

$$\operatorname{Jac} R^n F = b_F^{2^n}\, a(x)(1 + O(\rho^n))$$

where b_F is the average Jacobian of F. The toy model map, F_{mod} is defined as the map satisfying $\partial_z \varepsilon \equiv 0$. The set of toy model map is invariant under renormalizaton. Moreover, if $\|\partial_z \delta\| \ll \|\partial_y \varepsilon\|$, then there exists the continuous invariant plane field over $\mathcal{O}_F$ with dominated splitting. Under this condition, three dimensional Hénon-like map is dynamically decomposed into two dimensional map with contraction along the strong stable direction. Any invariant line field on this plane filed over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ cannot be continuous.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
2 Preliminaries **3**
3 Renormalization of three dimensional Hénon-like maps **8**
4 Critical Cantor set **14**
5 Average Jacobian **17**
6 Universal expression of Jacobian determinant **18**
7 Toy model Hénon-like map in three dimension **31**
A Appendix Lyapunov exponents and splitting of tangent bundle **40**

Date: August 7, 2014.

College of Science and Technology, Hongik University at Sejong, Korea.
Email : namyoungwoo @ hongik.ac.kr.

1. Introduction

Universality of infinitely renormalizable unimodal maps in one dimensional dynamical system was discovered by Feigenbaum and independently by Coullet and Tresser in the mid 1970's. Hyperbolicity at the fixed point of renormalization operator is finally proved in the one dimensional holomorphic dynamical systems by Lyubich using quadratic-like maps in [Lyu]. Universality of higher dimensional maps which are strongly dissipative and close to the one dimensional maps has been expected. For example, see [CEK]. The *period doubling* renormalization of two dimensional Hénon-like map is introduced in [dCLM] with universal limit of renormalized maps. But geometry of Cantor attractor has different from one dimensional maps. In this paper, Hénon renormalization theory is extended for three dimensional maps. This extension has in general two goals.

- Finding the same or similar results of two dimensional theory in three dimension.
- Finding new phenomena which appear only on three or higher dimensional maps.

This paper concentrate on the first part of above goals. The content is the modified version of the first part of my thesis in [Nam].

1.1. Statement of result. Three dimensional Hénon-like map F from the cubic box B to $\mathbb{R}^3$ is defined as follows

$$F\colon (x, y, z) \mapsto (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z),\ x,\ \delta(x, y, z))$$

where $f(x)$ is a unimodal map. Let us assume that $\|\varepsilon\|_{C^3}, \|\delta\|_{C^3} \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$ for a sufficiently small positive $\bar{\varepsilon}$.

We need the non linear coordinate change map which is called the horizontal-like diffeomorphism H for universal limit of renormalized maps as follows

$$H : (x, y, z) \mapsto (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z),\ y,\ z - \delta(y, f^{-1}(y), 0)).$$

Then Hénon renormalization is extendible to three dimensional Hénon-like maps with same definitions. Renormalized map RF of three dimensional Hénon-like map, F is defined as

$$RF = \Lambda \circ H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1}$$

where Λ is the appropriate dilation. $R^n F$ converges to the universal limit as $n \to \infty$. Furthermore, F_* is the hyperbolic fixed point of the renormalization operator, $R : F \mapsto RF$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ be the set of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps on the domain B with the norms $\|\varepsilon\|$ and $\|\delta\|$ bounded by small enough number, $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$. Average Jacobian is defined on the critical Cantor set

$$b_F = \exp \int_{\mathcal{O}_F} \log \operatorname{Jac} F \, d\mu$$

where μ is the unique ergodic probability measure on the Cantor attractor $\mathcal{O}_F$. Then for $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$, universality of Jacobian is generalized for three dimensional map

$$\operatorname{Jac} R^n F = b^{2^n} a(x)(1 + O(\rho^n))$$

where $b = b_F$ is the average Jacobian of F and $a(x)$ is the universal function for $\rho \in (0, 1)$ (Theorem 6.8).

However, universality of Jacobian determinant does not seem to imply any universal expression of three dimensional map, R^nF. Thus instead of constructing universal theory of all three dimensional maps in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$, we would find an invariant space under Hénon renormalization operator and search geometric properties of Cantor attractor of maps in this class. Let Hénon-like maps with the condition $\partial_z\varepsilon \equiv 0$ be *toy model maps*, say F_{mod}. Thus Hénon renormalization of toy model map is a skew product of renormalization of two dimensional map with third coordinate. In other words, the following is true for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\pi_{xy} \circ R^nF_{\mathrm{mod}} = R^nF_{2d}$$

where π_{xy} is the projection from $\mathbb{R}^3$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $F_{2d}\colon (x, y) \mapsto (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y),\ x)$ is two dimensional Hénon-like map. $b_F = b_1b_2$ where b_1 is the average Jacobian of F_{2d} and $b_2 \asymp \partial_z\delta$. Universality of two dimensional map and the fact that $\operatorname{Jac} R^nF_{\mathrm{mod}} = \partial_y\varepsilon_n \cdot \partial_z\delta_n$ implies that universality of toy model maps.

$$R^nF_{\mathrm{mod}} = (f_n(x) + b_1^{2^n} a(x)\, y\,(1 + O(\rho^n)),\ x,\ b_2^{2^n} z\,(1 + O(\rho^n)))$$

Let us assume that $b_2 \ll b_1$. Then there exists the continuous invariant plane field on the critical Cantor set under DF_{mod}. It is C^1 robust. In particular, $\|\partial_z\varepsilon\| \ll b_F$ also implies the existence of continuous invariant plane field over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. Moreover, there is no continuous invariant line field on this continuous invariant plane field.

In the forthcoming paper, we would find another invariant space under Hénon renormalization which does not require any invariant plane field. But geometric properties of Cantor attractor is the same as those of Cantor attractor of two dimensional Hénon-like maps.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank specially my advisor, Marco Martens who introduced this topic and gave much inspirations through the discussion. Tangent bundle splitting on Section 7 originated from his insight. I also thank Misha Lyubich for his interest of this topic and his kindness.

2. Preliminaries

Let us introduce two dimensional Hénon-like maps and its renormalization defined in [dCLM]. Many topological properties of two dimensional renormalizable Hénon-like map are well adapted to three dimensional Hénon-like maps.

2.1. Notations. For the given map F, if a set A is related to F, then we denote it to be $A(F)$ or A_F and F can be skipped if there is no confusion without F. The domain of F is denoted to be $\mathrm{Dom}(F)$. If $F(B) \subset B$, then we call B is an (forward) invariant set under F.

For three dimensional map, let us the projection from $\mathbb{R}^3$ to its $x-$axis, $y-$axis and $z-$axis be π_x, π_y and π_z respectively. Moreover, the projection from $\mathbb{R}^3$ to $xy-$plane be π_{xy} and so on.

Let $C^r(X)$ be the Banach space of all real functions on X for which the r^{th} derivative is continuous. The C^r norm of $h \in C^r(X)$ is defined as follows

$$\|h\|_{C^r} = \max_{1 \le k \le r} \left\{ \|h\|_0,\ \|D^kh\|_0 \right\}.$$

For analytic maps, since C^0 norm bounds C^r norm for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we often use the norm, $\|\cdot\|$ instead of $\|\cdot\|_0$ or $\|\cdot\|_{C^k}$. $W^s(p)$ and $W^u(p)$ are stable and unstable manifold at a point, p. If $W^s(p)$ is not connected in the given region, local stable manifold, $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(p)$ is defined as the component of $W^s(p)$ containing p. If unstable manifold is one dimensional, then we express the curve connecting two points along the unstable manifold in X as follows

$$[\, p, q \,]^u_w \subset W^u(w).$$

The square bracket means that $[\, p, q \,]^u_w$ is the homeomorphic image of a closed interval, for example, $[-1, 1]$ under a continuous map from $\mathbb{R}$ to X. The points p and q are the end points of curve. $A = O(B)$ means that there exists a positive number C such that $A \leq CB$. Moreover, $A \asymp B$ means that there exists a positive number C which satisfies $\dfrac{1}{C}B \leq A \leq CB$.

2.2. Renormalization of one dimensional unimodal map. Let $f : I \to I$ be a C^3 or smoother unimodal map with non-degenerate critical point $c \in I$ and its Schwarzian derivative is negative on I. f is called (period doubling) *renormalizable* map if there exists a closed interval $J \subset \operatorname{Int} I$ such that $J \cap f(J) = \varnothing$ and $f^2(J) \subset J$ which contains the critical point of f. Then $f^2 : J \to J$ is also a unimodal map on J. Then we can choose the minimal disjoint intervals $J_c = [f^4(c), f^2(c)]$ and $J_v = [f^3(c), f(c)]$ which are invariant under f^2. Thus *renormalization* $R_c f$ at the critical point as $R_c f$ is defined as $sf^2(s^{-1}x)$ where $x \to sx$ is the affine rescaling from J_c to I, for $s < -1$. The domain of renormalizable map contains the critical point, the critical value and one repelling fixed point whose eigenvalue is negative.

Suppose that f is an infinitely renormalizable map. Then there is the fixed point f_* of the renormalization operator R_c with universal scaling factor $\sigma = 1/2.6\ldots$. The scaling factor of n^{th} renormalized map converges to σ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. Let the critical point of f_* be c_* and the interval be $I = [-1, 1]$. Also assume that $f_*(c_*) = 1$ and $f_*^2(c_*) = -1$. Then the intervals, $J_c^* = [-1, f_*^4(c_*)]$ and $J_v^* = f_*(J_c^*) = [f_*^3(c_*), 1]$ are the smallest invariant ones under f_*^2 around the critical point and the critical value respectively. Observe that the critical point c_* is in J_c^* and $f_*(J_v^*) = J_c^*$. Let onto map $s\colon J_c^* \to I$ be the orientation reversing affine rescaling. Thus $s \circ f_*\colon J_v^* \to [-1, 1]$ is an expanding diffeomorphism. Let us consider the inverse contraction

$$g_*\colon I \to J_v^*, \quad g_* = f_*^{-1} \circ s^{-1}$$

where f_*^{-1} is the branch of the inverse function which maps J_c^* onto J_v^*. The map g_* is called the *presentation function* and it has the unique fixed point at 1. By definition of g_* implies that

$$f_*^2 | J_v^* = g_* \circ f_* \circ (g_*)^{-1}.$$

Then by appropriate rescaling of the presentation function, g_*, we can define *renormalization* at the *critical value*, $R_v^n f_*$. Inductively, g_*^n is defined on the smallest interval $J_v^*(n)$ containing critical value, 1 with period 2^n. Let $G_*^n\colon I \to I$ be the diffeomorphism which is the appropriately rescaled map of g_*^n.

Then the fact that g_* is a contraction implies the existence of the following limit,

$$u_* = \lim_{n\to\infty} G_*^n\colon I \to I.$$

Moreover, the convergence is exponentially fast in C^3 topology. Let us see the following lemmas in [dCLM].

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 7.1 in [dCLM])**.** *For every $n \geq 1$*

(1) $J_v^*(n) = g_*^n(I)$

(2) $R_v^n f_* = G_*^n \circ f_* \circ (G_*^n)^{-1}$

(3) $u_* \circ f_* = f^* \circ u_*$

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 7.3 in [dCLM])**.** *Assume that there is the sequence of smooth functions $g_k \colon I \to I, \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots n$ such that $\|g_k - g_*\|_{C^3} \leq C\rho^k$ where the $g_* = \lim_{k\to\infty} g_k$ for some constant $C > 0$ and $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Let $g_k^n = g_k \circ \cdots \circ g_n$ and let $G_k^n = a_k^n \circ g_k^n \colon I \to I$, where a_k^n is the affine rescaling of $\operatorname{Im} g_k^n$ to I. Then $\|G_k^n - G_*^{n-k}\|_{C^1} \leq C_1\rho^{n-k}$, where C_1 depends only on ρ and C.*

2.3. Two dimensional Hénon-like map. Let B be a square region whose center is the origin and which contains the fixed points β_0 and β_1. Thus $B = I^h \times I^v$ where horizontal and vertical axes, say I^h and I^v are the (appropriately extended) symmetric intervals at zero of the one-dimensional renormalizable map f.



(A) A parabolic-like curve of the degenerate map as $W^u(\beta_0)$

(B) Stable and unstable manifolds of Hénon-like map

FIGURE 2.1. Degenerate map and Hénon-like diffeomorphism

Two dimensional map $F : B \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is called *Hénon-like* map if the image of vertical line and horizontal line is a horizontal line and a parabolic-like curve respectively. Then Hénon-like map F is as follows

$$F(x, y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y),\ x).$$

Additionally, if Jacobian determinant of F is non-zero at every point in $\mathrm{Dom}(F)$, then F is called *Hénon-like diffeomorphism*. We assume that two dimensional Hénon-like map, F has two saddle fixed points β_0 with positive eigenvalues, *flip saddle* and β_1 with negative eigenvalues, *regular saddle*. Period doubling renormalization of two dimensional analytic Hénon-like map was defined in [dCLM]. Orientation preserving Hénon-like map is called *renormalizable* if the unstable manifold of β_0, $W^u(\beta_0)$ intersects the stable manifold of β_1, $W^s(\beta_1)$, at the single orbit of an intersection point, say $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}}(w)$. Let $p_0 \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}}(w) \cap W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\beta_1)$ be the *unique* point satisfying the following conditions.

(1) Every forward image of p, namely, $p_k = F^k(p_0)$ for $k \geq 0$, is in $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\beta_1)$.

(2) Each backward images of p in $\mathrm{Dom}(F)$ is disjoint from $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\beta_1)$.

If $\|\varepsilon\|$ is small enough, then $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(p_{-n})$ is pairwise disjoint where $n \leq 0$. Moreover, $W^s(\beta_1)$ and $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(p_{-n})$ converges to $W^s(\beta_1)$ because p_{-n} converges to β_0 as $n \to +\infty$.



FIGURE 2.2. Regions between local stable manifolds

Denote $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(p_{-n})$ by M_{-n} for every $n \geq 0$. For instance, M_0 is $W^s_{\mathrm{loc}}(\beta_1)$. Moreover, define M_1 as the component of $W^s(\beta_1)$ whose image under F is contained in M_{-1} such that it does not have any point of $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathbb{Z}}(w)$. M_1 is on the opposite side of M_{-1} from M_0. We may assume that M_1 is a curve connecting the up and down sides of the square domain B inside. Then we can easily check the curves $[p_0, p_1]^u_{\beta_0}$ and $[p_1, p_2]^u_{\beta_0}$ which are parts of $W^u(\beta_0)$ does not intersect M_1 and M_{-1} respectively if F is renormalizable.

On the domain B, the dynamical region for renormalizable Hénon-like maps is the closure of the component of $B \setminus W^s(\beta_0)$ containing β_1, say $B_\bullet$ because it is an (forward) invariant region under F. Let each region between M_{-n} and M_{-n+1} be A_{-n} for every $n \geq 0$. Since $F(M_{-n}) \subset M_{-n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$, we can see $F(A_{-n}) \subset A_{-n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$. But the

image of A_0 under F is contained on A_{-1}, that is, $F(A_0) \subset A_{-1}$. In other words, $W^s_{\text{loc}}(\beta_1)$ intersects $W^u(\beta_0)$ at p_1 transversally.
Let the region above the curve $[p_{-1}, p_0]^u_{\beta_0}$ in A_{-1} be Z_1 and the region below the same curve in A_{-1} be Z_2. Let the domain enclosed by two curves $[p_0, p_1]^u_{\beta_0}$ and $[p_0, p_1]^s_{\beta_1}$ be D. Thus for the renormalizable Hénon-like map, $F^2(A_0) \subset D$. Then D is invariant under F^2 and furthermore, any neighbourhood of D in A_0 is also invariant under F^2.

Lemma 2.3. *Let F be the renormalizable Hénon-like map. Let the region between two local stable manifolds at β_1, M_0 and M_1 be A_0. Then $F^2(A_0) \subset D$. In particular, any open neighbourhood of D in A_0 is invariant under F^2.*

2.4. Renormalization operator of two dimensional Hénon-like maps. The domain D defined on the previous subsection is invariant under F^2. However, F^2 is not Hénon-like map because the image of vertical line under F^2 is not a horizontal line. Then we need non-linear coordinate change map to define renormalization of Hénon-like maps. Let H be the *horizontal diffeomorphism* defined as follows

$$H(x, y) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y),\ y)$$

The map H preserves each horizontal lines. Then by Lemma 3.4 in [dCLM], $H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$ is a Hénon-like map and this map is called *pre-renormalization* of F and is denoted to be PRF. Analytic definition of renormalization of F by

$$RF = \Lambda \circ PRF \circ \Lambda^{-1} \tag{2.1}$$

where Λ is the dilation $\Lambda(x, y) = (sx, sy)$ for the appropriate number $s < -1$. For instance, if the degenerate map $F_\bullet(x, y) = (f(x),\ x)$ is renormalizable with its horizontal diffeomorphism $H_\bullet(x, y) = (f(x),\ y)$, then

$$H_\bullet^{-1} \circ F_\bullet^2 \circ H_\bullet = (f^2(x),\ x).$$

Then the renormalization of $F_\bullet$ is

$$RF_\bullet = \Lambda_\bullet \circ PRF_\bullet \circ \Lambda_\bullet^{-1} = (s_\bullet f^2(s_\bullet^{-1} x),\ x)$$

where $\Lambda_\bullet(x) = s_\bullet x$ is a dilation of the unimodal renormalizable map $x \to f(x)$. Thus if $\|\varepsilon\|$ is small enough, then the dilation Λ in the equation (2.1) is a $\varepsilon-$perturbation of $\Lambda_\bullet$. The pre-renormalization is defined on the region $\Lambda^{-1}(B)$. Let U be the interval, $\pi_x(\Lambda^{-1}(B))$. Thus $\Lambda^{-1}(B)$ is extendible to $U \times I^v$ with the full height. $\text{Dom}(H)$ is the region enclosed by curves $f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y) = \text{const.}$ and $y = \text{const.}$ such that the image under H is $\Lambda^{-1}(B)$. Define V as the interval $\pi_x(\text{Dom}(H) \cap \{y = 0\})$.

Lemma 2.4 (Lemma 3.4 on [dCLM])**.** *Let F be analytic renormalizable Hénon-like map with the small norm of ε, $\|\varepsilon\| \le \bar{\varepsilon}$, then*

$$H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1} = (f_1(x) - \varepsilon_1(x, y),\ x)$$

for some unimodal map f_1 on V such that $\|f^2 - f_1\|_V \le C\bar{\varepsilon}$ for some $C > 0$ and $\|\varepsilon_1\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^2)$.

Suppose Hénon-like map F is infinitely renormalizable. Then $R^n F$ converges to the degenerate map $F_* = (f_*(x),\ x)$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$ where f_* is the fixed point of the renormalization operator of unimodal maps. Hyperbolicity of renormalization operator of analytic unimodal maps was proved in [Lyu]. The renormalization operator has codimension

one stable manifold and one dimensional unstable manifold at the fixed point f_*. Moreover, exponential convergence of R^nF to the one dimensional fixed point $(f_*(x), x)$ and super-exponential decay of ε_n of R^nF implies the vanishing spectrum of DR, the derivative of renormalization operator. Hence, the unstable manifold at the fixed point of Hénon renormalization operator is the same as that of renormalization operator of unimodal maps. See Section 4 in [dCLM].

3. Renormalization of three dimensional Hénon-like maps

3.1. Hénon-like maps in three dimension. Let B be the three dimensional box domain, namely, $B = B_{2d} \times [-c, c]$ for some $c > 0$ where B_{2d} be the domain of two dimensional Hénon-like map. Moreover, denote B as $I^x \times \mathbf{I}^v$ where I^x is the line parallel to x-axis and $\mathbf{I}^v = I^y \times I^z$ where I^y and I^z are lines parallel to y-axis and z-axis respectively. Let us define *three dimensional Hénon-like map* on the cube B as follows

$$F(x, y, z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z),\ x,\ \delta(x, y, z)) \tag{3.1}$$

where $f : I^x \to I^x$ is a unimodal map. Observe that the image of the plane, $\{x = C\}$ parallel to yz-plane under F is contained in the plane, $\{y = C\}$ which is parallel to xz-plane.



FIGURE 3.1. Image of $\{x = \text{const.}\}$ under three dimensional Hénon-like map

Let $\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $\bar{\delta}$ be small enough positive numbers. Assume that $\|\varepsilon\|_{C^3} \le \bar{\varepsilon}$ and $\|\delta\|_{C^3} \le \bar{\delta}$. If the unimodal map $f(x)$ has two fixed points, then F has also only two saddle fixed points, say β_0 and β_1, by contraction mapping theorem of the third coordinate map. Moreover, if the product of all eigenvalues of DF at each β_i for $i = 0, 1$ are close enough to zero, then each fixed point has one dimensional unstable manifold. Orientation preserving three dimensional Hénon-like map is called *renormalizable* if $W^u(\beta_0)$ and $W^s(\beta_1)$ intersects in the single orbit of a point.

Topological properties of renormalizable two dimensional Hénon-like map are well extended to the renormalizable three dimensional one. See Figure 2.2 in page 6 for the adaptation of three dimensional objects. Let $B_\bullet$ be the component of $B \setminus W^s(\beta_0)$ containing β_1, which is invariant under F. Definitions of M_i for $i \leq 1$, A_j for $j \leq 0$ is the same as those for two dimensional Hénon-like maps. $W^s_{\rm loc}(\beta_1)$ is (forward) invariant under F and it is the common boundary of the regions A_{-1} and A_0. Then $F(A_{-1}) \subset A_0$ and $F(A_0) \subset A_{-1}$. In particular, A_0 is invariant under F^2 and $F^2(A_0)$ contains a small neighborhood of $[p_0, p_1]^u_{\beta_0}$ in A_0 and its boundary is disjoint from M_1. Then the following properties are the same as those of two dimensional Hénon-like maps.

(1) M_0 is invariant under F.
(2) $F(M_{-n}) \subset M_{-n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$.
(3) $F(M_1) \subset M_{-1}$.
(4) $F(A_{-n}) \subset A_{-n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$. In particular, $F(A_{-1}) \subset A_0$.
(5) Let the region on the right side of M_1 be A_1. Then $F(A_1) \subset A_{-2}$.
(6) $W^u(\beta_0)$ intersects $W^s_{loc}(\beta_1)$ at p_0, p_1 and p_2 transversally.

For three dimensional Hénon-like map, define the cylindrical region D as follows.

$$D \equiv F(A_{-1}) \tag{3.2}$$

Then D is invariant under F^2 in A_0.

Remark 3.1. The region D_{2d} for two dimensional Hénon-like map is enclosed by two curves $W^s_{\rm loc}(\beta_1)$ and $W^u(\beta_0)$. D_{2d} depends only on the given Hénon-like map. However, this definition is not valid for higher dimension. The region $D \equiv F(A_{-1})$ for three dimensional Hénon-like map is a small neighborhood of the curve $[p_0, p_1]^u \subset W^u(\beta_0)$ in the region A_0.

Lemma 3.1. *Let F be renormalizable three dimensional Hénon-like map. Then $\overline{B}_\bullet$ is invariant under F. Moreover, for every point $w \in B_\bullet$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F^k(w) \in \overline{D}$.*

Proof. $W^s(\beta_0)$ is invariant under F and every M_{-n} for some $-n \leq -1$ are components of the stable manifold $W^s(\beta_0)$. Then we see that $F^{n-1}(M_{-n}) \subset M_{-1}$ where $-n \leq -2$ and $F(M_1) \subset M_{-1}$. Furthermore, by the definition of D, we see $F(M_{-1}) \subset \partial D$ and $F(M_0) \subset \partial D$. Then we can take $k = n$ where $-n \leq -1$, $k = 1$ where $n = 0$ and $k = 2$ where $n = 1$.

Now let us take a point $w \notin \bigcup_{n \leq 1} M_n$. Let us show that $F^k(w) \in \overline{D}$ for some $k \geq 0$. We may assume that w is contained in some region A_{-n} for some $-n \leq 1$ because each region A_{-n} is separated by M_{-n} and B_0 is the union of M_{-n} and A_{-n}. If $w \in A_{-n}$ where $-n \leq -1$, $F^{n-1}(w)$ is on A_{-1}. Let w' be $F^{n-1}(w)$. Then by the definition of D, $F(w') \in D$. Moreover, if $w \in A_0$, then $F^2(w) \in D$ by the invariance of D under F^2. If $w \in A_1$, then $F(w) \in A_{-2}$. Hence, we can choose $k = n$ where $-n \leq -1$, $k = 2$ where $n = 0$ and $k = 3$ where $n = 1$. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let F be renormalizable three dimensional Hénon-like map. Let the region between two local stable manifolds M_0 and M_1 be A_0. Then $F^2(A_0) \subset D$. In particular, any open neighbourhood of D in A_0 is invariant under F^2.*

Proof. Let us take any neighborhood of D in A_0, say D'. Then we get the following set inclusion relation

$$F^2(D) \subset F^2(D') \subset F^2(A_0) \subset F(A_{-1}) = D \subset D'.$$

Hence, $F^2(D') \subset D'$. □

As the result, we can choose arbitrary region $D' \subset A_0$ containing D as an invariant domain under F^2. Let us take a region containing D such that $\pi_{xy}(D)$ contains (relatively) compactly D_{2d} in A_0 where two dimensional region D_{2d} is enclosed by curves, $[p_0, p_1]^s_{\beta_1}$ and $[p_0, p_1]^u_{\beta_0}$. Express this extended region D' to be also D unless it makes any confusion.



FIGURE 3.2. The local stable manifold of β_1, $W^s_{\rm loc}(\beta_1)$ and the unstable manifold of β_0, $W^u(\beta_0)$

3.2. Renormalization of three dimensional Hénon-like maps. In this section we construct *period doubling* renormalization operator of three dimensional analytic Hénon-like maps. However, F^2 is not Hénon-like map because the image of the plane, $\{x = C\}$ in B under F^2 is not a part of the plane, $\{y = C\}$. Thus in order to construct renormalization operator, we need non-linear coordinate change map. Define the *horizontal-like diffeomorphism* as follows

$$H(x, y, z) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z),\ y,\ z - \delta(y, f^{-1}(y), 0)). \tag{3.3}$$

Recall that J_c and J_v is the minimal invariant intervals under f^2 containing the critical point and the critical value of f respectively. Let V be a closed interval invariant under f^2 disjoint from J_v. Suppose that V contains a small neighborhood of every J_c if the given unimodal maps are $f(x) - \varepsilon(x,\ y_0,\ z_0)$ for every $(y_0, z_0) \in \mathbf{I}^v$. Recall also that $\mathbf{I}^v$ has the full length to $y-$axis and $z-$axis direction.

Let us take $\mathrm{Dom}(H)$ as the region of which image under H is $V \times \mathbf{I}^v$. Let us take the region in $\mathrm{Dom}(H)$, say P, of which faces satisfy the equations

$$\{f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y, z) = \text{const.}\}, \quad \{y = \text{const.}\}, \quad \{z = \text{const.}\}$$

as boundary surfaces such that P is the minimal region invariant under F^2. Thus the ratio of each side of $H(P)$ parallel to x, y and z axis is

$$1 : 1 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}) : O(\bar{\delta})$$

Let us extend the minimal region in order to construct the cube as its image under H. This extended region is called B_v^1. Observe that B_v^1 is compactly contained in $\mathrm{Int}(\overline{A_{-1} \cup A_0})$ and B_v^1 is invariant under F^2.

The inverse map H^{-1} is expressed as follows[1]

$$H^{-1}(x, y, z) \equiv (\phi^{-1}(x, y, z),\ y,\ z + \delta(y,\ f^{-1}(y),\ 0)).$$

where ϕ^{-1} is the straightening map satisfying $\phi^{-1} \circ H(x, y, z) = x$.

Denote (x, y, z) by the point w in the three dimensional region. Let $\mathcal{U}_V$ be the space of unimodal maps defined on the set V. In this paper, every Hénon-like map is *analytic* Hénon-like map. Hénon-like map means three dimensional Hénon-like map unless any confusion appears.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $F(w) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(w),\ x,\ \delta(w))$ be a Hénon-like map and H be the horizontal-like diffeomorphism in* (3.3)*. Suppose that $\|\varepsilon\|_{C^2} \le C\bar{\varepsilon}$ and $\|\delta\|_{C^2} \le C\bar{\delta}$ for some $C > 0$. Then there exists a unimodal map $f_1 \in \mathcal{U}_V$ such that $\|f_1 - f^2\|_V < C\bar{\varepsilon}$ and the map $H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$ is the Hénon-like map $(x, y, z) \mapsto (f_1(x) - \varepsilon_1(x, y, z),\ x,\ \delta_1(x, y, z))$ on $V \times \mathbf{I}^v$ with the norm, $\|\varepsilon_1\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^2 + \bar{\varepsilon}\bar{\delta})$ and $\|\delta_1\| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}\bar{\delta} + \bar{\delta}^2)$.*

Proof. By the straightforward calculation, we obtain the expression of $H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} &(x, y, z) \\ &\mapsto (f(f(x) - \varepsilon \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w)) - \varepsilon \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}(w),\ x,\ \delta \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) - \delta(x, f^{-1}(x), 0)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the first coordinate function of $H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$ is

$$f(f(x) - \varepsilon \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w)) - \varepsilon \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}(w).$$

Denote $v(x)$ by $\varepsilon(x, f^{-1}(x), 0)$. Thus $v \circ f(x) = \varepsilon(f(x), x, 0)$. By the linear approximation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &f(f(x) - \varepsilon \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w)) - \varepsilon \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}(w) \\ &= f^2(x) - f'(f(x)) \cdot \varepsilon \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) - \big[\, \varepsilon(f(x),\ x,\ 0) + \partial_x \varepsilon \circ (f(x),\ x,\ 0) \cdot \varepsilon \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) \\ &\quad + \partial_z \varepsilon \circ (f(x),\ x,\ 0) \cdot \delta \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) \,\big] + h.o.t. \\ &= f^2(x) - v \circ f(x) - [\, f'(f(x)) - \partial_x \varepsilon \circ (f(x),\ x,\ 0)\,] \cdot v(x) \\ &\quad - \big[\, f'(f(x)) - \partial_x \varepsilon \circ (f(x),\ x,\ 0)\,\big] \cdot \big[\, \partial_y \varepsilon \circ (x, f^{-1}(x), 0) \cdot (f^{-1})'(x) \cdot \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w) \\ &\quad + \partial_z \varepsilon \circ (x, f^{-1}(x), 0) \cdot \delta \circ H^{-1}(w) \,\big] - \partial_z \varepsilon \circ (f(x),\ x,\ 0) \cdot \delta \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) + h.o.t. \end{aligned}$$

[1] The first coordinate map of $H^{-1}(w)$, $\phi^{-1}(x, y, z)$ is *not* the *inverse function* of any one dimensional map. However, $\phi^{-1}(w)$ is a $\varepsilon-$perturbation of $f^{-1}(x)$ satisfying the following equation

$$f \circ \phi^{-1}(w) - \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w) = x.$$

Let us choose the unimodal map of the first component of $H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$, say $f_1(x)$ as follows

$$f^2(x) - v \circ f(x) - \big[\, f'(f(x)) - \partial_x \varepsilon \circ (f(x),\, x,\, 0) \,\big] \cdot v(x).$$

Then $\|f_1(x) - f^2(x)\| = O(\|\varepsilon\|)$ and the norm of $\varepsilon_1(w)$ is $O\big(\|\varepsilon\|^2 + \|\varepsilon\|\,\|\delta\|\big)$. Let us estimate the third coordinate of $H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta \circ F \circ H^{-1}(w) - \delta(x,\, f^{-1}(x),\, 0) \\
&= \delta\big(x,\, \phi^{-1}(w),\, \delta \circ H^{-1}(w)\big) - \delta(x,\, f^{-1}(x),\, 0) \\
&= \partial_y \delta \circ (x,\, f^{-1}(x),\, 0) \cdot (\phi^{-1}(w) - f^{-1}(x)) + \partial_z \delta \circ (x,\, f^{-1}(x),\, 0) \cdot \delta \circ H^{-1}(w) + h.o.t. \\
&= \partial_y \delta \circ (x,\, f^{-1}(x),\, 0) \cdot (f^{-1})'(x) \cdot \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w) + \partial_z \delta \circ (x,\, f^{-1}(x),\, 0) \cdot \delta \circ H^{-1}(w) + h.o.t.
\end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\delta_1\|$ is $O(\|\varepsilon\|\,\|\delta\| + \|\delta\|^2)$. □

Define *pre-renormalization* of F as $PRF \equiv H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$ on $H(B_v^1)$. Since $H(B_v^1)$ is the cubic region, the domain B is recovered as the image of $H(B_v^1)$ under the appropriate linear expanding map $\Lambda(x, y, z) = (sx, sy, sz)$ for some $s < -1$, that is, $\mathrm{Dom}(PRF)$ is $\Lambda^{-1}(B)$.

Definition 3.1 (Renormalization)**.** Let V be the (minimal) closed subinterval of I^x such that $V \times \mathbf{I}^v$ is invariant under $H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1}$ and let $s\colon V \to I$ be the orientation reversing affine rescaling. With the rescaling map $\Lambda(x, y, z) = (sx, sy, sz)$, *Renormalization* of three dimensional Hénon-like map is defined on the domain $B \equiv I^x \times \mathbf{I}^{\mathbf{v}}$ as follows

$$RF = \Lambda \circ H \circ F^2 \circ H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1}.$$

If F is n times renormalizable, then the n^{th} renormalization is defined successively

$$R^n F = \Lambda_{n-1} \circ H_{n-1} \circ (R^{n-1}F)^2 \circ H_{n-1}^{-1} \circ \Lambda_{n-1}^{-1}.$$

where $R^{n-1}F$ is $(n-1)^{th}$ renormalization of F for $n \geq 1$.

Denote the set of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like maps on the region B by $\mathcal{I}_B$. In particular, if all Hénon-like maps in $\mathcal{I}_B$ satisfies that $\max\{\|\varepsilon\|, \|\delta\|\} \leq \bar{\varepsilon}$, then this set is denoted to be $\mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$. The set of infinitely renormalizable unimodal maps on the interval I^x is expressed as $\mathcal{I}_{I^x}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with small enough $\|\varepsilon\|$ and $\|\delta\|$ bounded by $C\bar{\delta}$ for some $C > 0$. Then for all sufficiently big $n \geq 1$, $R^n F$ converge to the degenerate map $F_* = (f_*(x), x, 0)$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$ where f_* is the fixed point of one dimensional renormalization operator.*

Proof. Let the degenerate map be $F_{f_N} = (f_N,\, x,\, 0)$ where $R^N F = (f_N - \varepsilon_N,\, x,\, \delta_N)$ and let $F_{R_c^N f} = (R_c^N f,\, x,\, 0)$ where $R_c^N f$ is the N^{th} renormalized map of f for $N \geq 1$. Then for big enough N, we obtain the following estimation

$$\begin{aligned}
\|R^N F - F_*\| &\leq \|R^N F - F_{f_N}\| + \|F_{f_N} - F_{R_c^N f}\| + \|F_{R_c^N f} - F_*\| \\
&= \|(\varepsilon_N,\, 0,\, \delta_N)\| + \|f_N - R_c^N f\| + \|R_c^N f - f_*\| \\
&\leq C_2\big(\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\delta}\big)^{2^N} + \|f_N - R_c^N f\| + C_0 \rho_0
\end{aligned}$$

for some C_0, $C_2 > 0$ and $0 < \rho_0 < 1$. From the theory of renormalization of unimodal maps, $R_c^{Nk} f$ converges to f_* exponentially fast as $k \to \infty$ for sufficiently large N. Using the adapted metric in [PS], we can take $N = 1$. Then for every $n \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\|R^n F - F_*\| \leq C_2 \big(\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\delta}\big)^{2^n} + \|f_n - R_c^n f\| + C_0 \rho_0^n$$

for some C_0, $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < \rho_0 < 1$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_n - R_c^n f\| \leq & \|f_n - R_c f_{n-1}\| + \|R_c f_{n-1} - R_c^2 f_{n-2}\| + \|R_c^2 f_{n-2} - R_c^3 f_{n-3}\| + \cdots \\ & + \|R_c^{m-1} f_{n-m+1} - R_c^m f_{n-m}\| + \|R_c^m f_{n-m} - R_c^{m+1} f_{n-m-1}\| + \cdots \\ & + \|R_c^{n-1} f_1 - R_c^n f\|.\end{aligned}$$

For sufficiently large m and $n-m$, by Lemma 8 in [dMP] on the space of quadratic-like maps, we have C_0 distance contraction. Moreover, by Main Theorem on [AMdM01], we obtain C^r contractions for $r \geq 3$.[2]

$$\|R_c^m f_{n-m} - R_c^{m+1} f_{n-m-1}\| + \cdots + \|R_c^{n-1} f_1 - R_c^n f\| \leq C_m \rho_m^{n-m} + \cdots + C_n \rho_n^n \tag{3.4}$$

for some $0 < C_i = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^i})$ and $0 < \rho_i < 1$ where $i = m, m+1, \ldots, n$. Every C_i and ρ_i are independent of n. Thus the sum (3.4) is bounded above by $C_1 \rho_1^{n-m}$ for some $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < \rho_1 < 1$. Moreover, by the direct calculations of each terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_n - R_c f_{n-1}\| + \|R_c f_{n-1} - R_c^2 f_{n-2}\| + \cdots + \|R_c^{m-1} f_{n-m+1} - R_c^m f_{n-m}\| \\ &\quad \leq C_n \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-1}} + C_{n-1}^2 \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-2}} + \cdots + C_{n-m}^m \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^{n-m}}\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

for some $0 < C_i$, $i = n-m, \ldots, n$. For sufficiently big $n-m$, the sum (3.5) is $O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0^{2^{n-m}})$ for $\bar{\varepsilon}_0 < \bar{\varepsilon}$. Then $\|f_n - R_c^n f\| \leq C_1 \rho_1^{n-m} + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0^{2^{n-m}})$. Hence,

$$\|R^n F - F_*\| \leq C_2 \big(\bar{\varepsilon} + \bar{\delta}\big)^{2^n} + C_1 \rho_1^{n-m} + O(\bar{\varepsilon}_0^{2^{n-m}}) + C_0 \rho_0^n \leq C \rho^n$$

for some $C > 0$ and $0 < \rho < 1$. Therefore, $R^n F$ converges to F_* exponentially fast. □

On the following sections, we suppress the bound of small norms of ε and δ to be $\bar{\varepsilon}$, that is, we express $\bar{\varepsilon} = \max\{\bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{\delta}\}$.

3.3. Hyperbolicity of renormalization operator. Hyperbolicity of renormalization operator at its fixed point was proved by Lyubich in [Lyu] using quadratic-like maps. Moreover, Lyubich proved that renormalization operator at the fixed point has one dimensional unstable manifold in the complex sense. The same thing is true in the real sense by Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.9 in [dFdMP]. [3]

The renormalization operator of one dimensional maps is embedded under the natural inclusion to the renormalization operator of degenerate maps in the space of renormalizable Hénon-like maps. Moreover, since the space of one dimensional infinitely renormalizable maps is a closed subset of the space of renormalizable Hénon-like maps, the quotient space

[2]The theorems of on [dMP] and [AMdM01] assumed that the maps are infinitely renormalizable with bounded combinatorics. On [AMdM01], infinitely renormalized unimodal maps have the same bounded type. However, we assume that every renormalizable maps have the type of *period doubling* on this article. This fixed and bounded single combinatorics is much simpler than the actual hypothesis on [dMP] or [AMdM01].

[3]Lyubich pointed out the uniform norm of analytic operator bounds the norm of all derivatives of the same operator.

$\mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})/\mathcal{I}_{I^x}$ is defined with the quotient norm. Thus the fact that super exponential convergence of both $\|\varepsilon_n\|$ and $\|\delta_n\|$ is $O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$ by Proposition 3.3 implies that the renormalization fixed point is the map $(x, y, z) \to (f_*(x), x, 0)$. Furthermore, it also implies vanishing spectrum of the quotient space at the renormalization fixed point and hyperbolicity of the renormalization operator of two and three dimensional Hénon-like maps. See Section 4 in [dCLM].

4. Critical Cantor set

We study the minimal attracting Cantor set for infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map F. F acts as a dyadic adding machine on this Cantor set. Topological construction of the invariant Cantor set of three dimensional Hénon-like map is exactly the same as that of two-dimensional one (Corollary 4.4 below). Thus we use the same definitions and notions of the two dimensional case in this section for the sake of completeness.

4.1. Branches. Let $\Psi_v^1 = \psi_v^1 \equiv H^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{-1}$ be the non linear scaling map which conjugates F^2 to RF on $\Psi_v^1(B)$, and let $\Psi_c^1 = \psi_c^1 \equiv F \circ \psi_v$. The subscripts v and c are associated to the *critical value* and the *critical point* respectively. Similarly, let ψ_v^2 and ψ_c^2 be the non linear scaling maps conjugating RF to R^2F. Let

$$\Psi_{vv}^2 = \psi_v^1 \circ \psi_v^2, \quad \Psi_{cv}^2 = \psi_c^1 \circ \psi_v^2, \quad \Psi_{vc}^2 = \psi_v^1 \circ \psi_c^2, \dots$$

Successively, we can define the non linear scaling map of the n^{th} level for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as follows

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}^n = \psi_{w_1}^1 \circ \cdots \circ \psi_{w_n}^n, \quad \mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \{v, c\}^n$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ is the word of length n and $W^n = \{v, c\}^n$ is the n-fold Cartesian product of $\{v, c\}$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $F \in \mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ for $n \geq 1$ with small enough $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$. The derivative of $\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}^n$ is exponentially shrinking for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with σ, that is, $\|D\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}^n\| \leq C\sigma^n$ for every words $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$ for some $C > 0$ depending only on B and $\bar{\varepsilon}$.*

Proof. By the definition of the first coordinate map of H^{-1} and the trivial identity, $H \circ H^{-1} =$ id, we have

$$\phi^{-1}(w) = f^{-1}(x + \varepsilon \circ H(w))$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x \phi^{-1}(w) &= \frac{(f^{-1})'(x + \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w))}{1 - (f^{-1})'(x + \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w)} \\
\partial_y \phi^{-1}(w) &= \partial_x \phi^{-1}(w) \cdot \left[\partial_y \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w) + \partial_z \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w) \cdot \frac{d}{dy} \delta(y, f^{-1}(y), 0) \right] \\
\partial_z \phi^{-1}(w) &= \partial_x \phi^{-1}(w) \cdot \partial_z \varepsilon \circ H^{-1}(w) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Let us estimate $\|D\phi^{-1}\|$. The above equation (4.1) implies that $\|\partial_x \phi^{-1}\| \asymp \|(f^{-1})'\|$ and furthermore, $\phi^{-1}(x, y_0, z_0) \asymp f^{-1}(x)$ for every $(y_0, z_0) \in \mathbf{I}^v$. The fact that $\phi^{-1} \colon \Lambda^{-1}(B) \to \pi_x(B_v^1)$ implies that the domain of f^{-1} is $\pi_x(\Lambda^{-1}(B))$. Then $\|(f^{-1})'\|$ is away from one and

$\|\partial_y \phi^{-1}\|$ and $\|\partial_z \phi^{-1}\|$ are $O(\bar{\varepsilon})$ by the equation (4.1).

The norm of derivatives of $\phi_n^{-1}(w)$ for each n has the same upper bounds because $f_n \to f_*$ exponentially fast and $\|\partial_y \phi_n^{-1}\|$ and $\|\partial_z \phi_n^{-1}\|$ is bounded by $O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$. The dilation, Λ^{-1} contracts by the factor $\sigma(1+O(\rho^n))$ where $\rho = \mathrm{dist}(F, F_*)$. The above equation implies that $\|DH_n^{-1}\|$ and $\|D(F \circ H_n^{-1})\|$ are uniformly bounded and the upper bounds are independent of n. Thus $\|\psi_w^n\| \le C\sigma$ for $w = v, c$. Hence, the composition of ψ_w^k for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ contracts by the factor σ^n, that is, $\|D\Psi_{\mathbf{w}}\| \le C\sigma^n$ for some $C > 0$. □

4.2. Pieces. Definitions on Section 3.2 implies that $B_v^1(F) = \psi_v^1(B)$ and $B_c^1(F) = F \circ \psi_v^1(B)$. Observe that $F(B_c^1) \subset B_v^1$. Similarly, define $B_v^1(R^n F)$ and $B_c^1(R^n F)$ as $\psi_v^{n+1}(B)$ and $F_n \circ \psi_v^{n+1}(B)$ respectively for each $n \ge 1$. Observe that the piece $B_c^1(F_*)$ is a part of the parabolic-like curve of $\{\, (x, y) \mid x = f_*(y) \,\}$ and $B_v^1(F_*)$ is a rectangular box.

Let us call the set $B_{\mathbf{w}}^n \equiv B_{\mathbf{w}}^n(F) = \Psi_{\mathbf{w}}^n(B)$ the *pieces* of n^{th} level or n^{th} generation where $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$. Moreover, W^n can be a additive group under the following correspondence from W^n to the numbers with base 2 of mod 2^n

$$\mathbf{w} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_{k+1} 2^k \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\, 2^n)$$

where the symbols v and c are corresponding to 0 and 1 respectively. Let $P\colon W^n \to W^n$ be the operation of adding 1 in this group. Lemma 5.3 in [dCLM] involves the following lemma in three dimension.

Lemma 4.2. (1) *The pieces for the above maps are nested :*

$$B_{\mathbf{w}\nu}^n \subset B_{\mathbf{w}}^{n-1}, \quad \mathbf{w} \in W^{n-1},\ \nu \in W.$$

(2) *The pieces $B_{\mathbf{w}}^n$, $\mathbf{w} \in W$ are pairwise disjoint.*

(3) *the pieces under F are permuted as follows. $F(B_{\mathbf{w}}^n) = B_{P(\mathbf{w})}^n$ unless $P(\mathbf{w}) = v^n$. If $P(\mathbf{w}) = v^n$, then $F(B_{\mathbf{w}}^n) \subset B_{v^n}^n$.*



FIGURE 4.1. Coordinate change map, ψ_v^n at each level

Furthermore, Lemma 4.1 implies the following corollary. Recall that dyadic numbers and dyadic adding machine corresponds to *period doubling* renormalization.

Corollary 4.3. *The diameter of each piece shrinks exponentially fast for each $n \geq 1$, that is,* $\operatorname{diam}(B^n_{\mathbf{w}}) \leq C\sigma^n$ *for all* $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$ *where the constant* $C > 0$ *depends only on* B *and* $\bar{\varepsilon}$.

Define the minimal invariant Cantor set of infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map F as follows

$$\mathcal{O} \equiv \mathcal{O}_F = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{\mathbf{w}\in W^n} B^n_{\mathbf{w}}.$$

Since each $\Psi^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ is a diffeomorphism from $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ to its image, passing the limit with the result of Lemma 4.2, the Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_F$ is invariant under F. Let us consider the inverse limit of W^n, say $W^\infty \equiv \varprojlim W^n$. The element of this set is the infinite sequence $(w_1 w_2 \ldots)$ of symbols. Thus W^∞ is the set of formal power series of dyadic numbers when v and c corresponds to 0 and 1 respectively.

$$\mathbf{w} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} w_{k+1} 2^k$$

Then W^∞ is *dyadic* group and it is also Cantor set with topology induced by the following metric

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\, v_i - w_i|}{2^i}$$

where v_i and w_i are i^{th} letters of $(v_1 v_2 v_3 \ldots)$ and $(w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots)$ respectively for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. For the detailed construction of dyadic group as Cantor set, see [BB]. With this Cantor set, the *adding machine* $P\colon W^\infty \to W^\infty$ is the operation of adding 1 in this group. Non negative integers with base 2 are embedded as the set of finite numbers in the dyadic group. Moreover, F acts on the critical Cantor set as an adding machine of dyadic group by the following Corollary.

Corollary 4.4. *The map* $F|_{\mathcal{O}}$ *is topologically conjugate to the dyadic adding machine* P *on* W^∞. *The conjugacy is the following homeomorphism* $h\colon W^\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}$

$$h\colon \mathbf{w} = (w_1\, w_2 \ldots) \mapsto \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B^n_{w_1 \ldots\, w_n}.$$

Furthermore, there exists the unique invariant probability measure μ *of which support is the Cantor set* $\mathcal{O}$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W^\infty & \xrightarrow{\;P\;} & W^\infty \\ {\scriptstyle h}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} \\ \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\;F\;} & \mathcal{O} \end{array}$$

Take a word $\mathbf{w} \in W$. Let $\mathbf{w}_i = (w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots w_i)$ be the first consecutive i concatenations of the word $\mathbf{w} = (w_1 w_2 w_3 \ldots)$. Then by Lemma 4.2, $F(B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i}) = B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i+1}$ if $\mathbf{w}_i \neq v^n$. Otherwise,

$F(B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i}) \subset B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i+1}$. Each domain $B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i}$ shrinks to a point of $\mathcal{O}_F$ when $i \to \infty$. Then passing the limit

$$F\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i}\right) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i+1}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_i + 1$ is the image of $\mathbf{w}_i$ under the adding machine with finite length $(\mathrm{mod}\, 2^i)$. Thus $F(h(\mathbf{w})) = h(\mathbf{w}+1)$, that is, the above diagram is commutative. If two words $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{w}$ have the different i^{th} letter but not before, then $B^i_{\mathbf{v}_i}$ and $B^i_{\mathbf{w}_i}$ are disjoint from each other. Moreover, every point of $\mathcal{O}$ has its word and two different points of $\mathcal{O}$ have different words by construction of the critical Cantor set. Hence, h is the bijection. The metric of dyadic group implies the (uniform) continuity of h. Furthermore, same topological structure and continuous bijection implies that h is a homeomorphism between two compact spaces. □

Remark 4.1. The formal power series of numbers with base 2 are involved with the combinatorics of renormalization operator. If the combinatorics of renormalization is not period doubling but p-tupling by a constant p, then we can construct *p-adic* additive group of numbers with base p using the same notions. Compare [HLM] for the p-tupling renormalization of two dimensional Hénon-like maps.

We will call the set $\mathcal{O}_F$ constructed above the *critical Cantor set* of F.

5. Average Jacobian

Let us consider the average Jacobian of infinitely renormalizable map and show that the biggest Lyapunov exponent is 0 in Theorem 5.3 below. Definitions and lemmas in this section are the same as those for two dimensional Hénon-like map in [dCLM].

Denote the Jacobian determinant of F at w by $\mathrm{Jac}\, F(w)$. Thus

$$\log \left| \frac{\mathrm{Jac}\, F(y)}{\mathrm{Jac}\, F(z)} \right| \le C \quad \text{for any} \quad y, z \in B$$

by some constant C which is not depending on y or z. Moreover, Lemma 4.1 says the diameter of the domain $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ converges to zero exponentially fast.

Lemma 5.1 (Distortion Lemma)**.** *There exist a constant C and the positive number $\rho < 1$ satisfying the following estimate*

$$\log \left| \frac{\mathrm{Jac}\, F^k(y)}{\mathrm{Jac}\, F^k(z)} \right| \le C\rho^n \quad \textit{for any} \quad y, z \in B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$$

where $k = 1, 2, 2^2, \ldots, 2^n$.

Existence of the unique invariant probability measure, say μ, on $\mathcal{O}_F$ enable us to define the average Jacobian.

$$b_F \equiv b = \exp \int_{\mathcal{O}_F} \log \mathrm{Jac}\, F \, d\mu$$

On each level n, the measure μ on $\mathcal{O}_F$ satisfies that $\mu(B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n} \cap \mathcal{O}_F) = 1/2^n$ for every $\mathbf{w}_n$ where $\mathbf{w}_n$ is a word of length n.

Corollary 5.2. *For any piece of $B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ on the level n and any point $w \in B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$,*

$$\operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(w) = b^{2^n}(1 + O(\rho^n))$$

where b is the average Jacobian of F for some positive $\rho < 1$.

Proof. Since

$$\int_{B^n_{\mathbf{w}}} \log \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n} d\mu = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \log \operatorname{Jac} F \, d\mu = \log b,$$

there exists a point $\eta \in B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$ such that $\log \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(\eta) = \dfrac{\log b}{\mu(B^n_{\mathbf{w}})} = 2^n \log b$
For any $w \in B^n_{\mathbf{w}}$, $\log \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(z) \le C\rho^n + \log \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(\eta)$, and $O(\rho^n) = \log(1 + O(\rho^n))$ for a fixed constant ρ. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \log \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(w) &= \log(1 + O(\rho^n)) + \log \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(\eta) \\ &= \log(1 + O(\rho^n)) \cdot b^{2^n} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(w) = b^{2^n}(1 + O(\rho^n))$. □

Three Lyapunov exponents χ_0, χ_1 and χ_2 exist for three dimensional map. Let χ_0 be the maximal one. Since F is ergodic with respect to the invariant finite measure μ on the critical Cantor set, we have the following inequality.

$$|\mu|\, \chi(x) \le \int_{\mathcal{O}_F} \log \|DF(x)\| \, d\mu(x)$$

where $|\mu|$ is the total mass of μ on $\mathcal{O}_F$.

Theorem 5.3. *The maximal Lyapunov exponent of F on $\mathcal{O}_F$ is 0.*

Proof. See the proof of Theorem 6.3 in [dCLM]. □

Observe that $\log b$ is the sum of Lyapunov exponents except the maximal one.

6. Universal expression of Jacobian determinant

Universality of average Jacobian is involved with asymptotic behavior of the non linear scaling map $\Psi^n_{v^n}$ between the renormalized map $F_n \equiv R^n F$ and F^{2^n} for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. $\Psi^n_{v^n}$ conjugate F^{2^n} to F_n. Thus using the chain rule and Corollary 5.2, $\operatorname{Jac} F_n$ is the product of the average Jacobian of F^{2^n} and the ratio of $\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{v^n}$ at w and $F_n(w)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Jac} F_n(w) &= \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(\Psi^n_{v^n}(w)) \frac{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{v^n}(w)}{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{v^n}(F_n(w))} \\ &= b^{2^n} \frac{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{v^n}(w)}{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{v^n}(F_n(w))}(1 + O(\rho^n)). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Then in Theorem 6.8 below, universality of Jacobian of $\Psi^n_{v^n}$ implies that of $\operatorname{Jac} F_n$. The asymptotic of non-linear part of $\Psi^n_{v^n}$ is essential to the universal expression of $\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{v^n}$.

6.1. Asymptotic of Ψ_k^n for fixed k^{th} level . For every infinitely renormalizable Hénon-like map F, we define the *tip*

$$\{\tau\} \equiv \{\tau_F\} = \bigcap_{n\geq 0} B^n_{v^n} \tag{6.2}$$

where the pieces $B^n_{v^n}$ are defined as $\Psi^n_{v^n}(B(R^nF))$. The tip of R^kF is denoted by $\tau_k = \tau(R^kF)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since every $B^n_{v^n}(F)$ contains τ_F, let us condense the notation $\Psi^n_{v^n}$ into Ψ^n_{tip}. Moreover, in order to simplify notations and calculations, let the tip move to the origin as a fixed point of each $\Psi^1_v(R^kF)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ by the conjugation of appropriate translations. Let us define Ψ_k^{k+1} in this section [4]

$$\Psi_k(w) \equiv \Psi_k^{k+1}(w) = \Psi_v^{k+1}(w + \tau_{k+1}) - \tau_k \tag{6.3}$$

where $w = (x, y, z)$. Denote the derivative of Ψ_k at the origin by $D_k \equiv D_k^{k+1}$.

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^{k+1} \equiv D_k = D\Psi_k^{k+1}(0) &= D(\Psi_v^1(R^kF))(\tau_{k+1}) \\ &= D(T_k \circ \Psi_v^1(R^kF) \circ T_{k+1}^{-1})(0) \end{aligned}$$

where $T_j : w \mapsto w - \tau_j$ for $j = k,\, k+1$. Then we can decompose D_k into the matrix of which diagonal entries are ones and the diagonal matrix.

$$D_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_k & u_k \\ & 1 & \\ & d_k & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & & \\ & \sigma_k & \\ & & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & \sigma_k t_k & \sigma_k u_k \\ & \sigma_k & \\ & \sigma_k d_k & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \tag{6.4}$$

Recall that $\sigma_k = -\sigma\left(1 + O(\rho^k)\right)$. Moreover, we can express Ψ_k^{k+1} with the linear and non-linear parts.

$$\Psi_k^{k+1} \equiv \Psi_k(w) = D_k \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{s}_k)(w) \tag{6.5}$$

where $\mathbf{s}_k(w) = (s_k(w),\ 0,\ r_k(y))$ and $\|\mathbf{s}_k(w)\| = O(\|w\|^2)$ near the origin. Comparing the derivative of $H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1}$ at the tip and D_k and by Corollary 4.3, we obtain the following estimations

$$\begin{aligned} t_k &= \partial_y \phi_k^{-1}(\tau_{k+1}) = \partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(\tau_{k+1}) \cdot \left[\, \partial_y \varepsilon_k(\tau_k) + \partial_z \phi_k^{-1}(\tau_{k+1}) \cdot d_k \,\right] \\ u_k &= \partial_z \phi_k^{-1}(\tau_{k+1}) = \partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(\tau_{k+1}) \cdot \partial_z \varepsilon_k(\tau_k) \\ \text{and} \quad d_k &= \frac{d}{dy}\, \delta_k \left(\pi_y(\tau_{k+1}), f_k^{-1}(\pi_y(\tau_{k+1})), 0\right) \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

where $\phi_k^{-1}(w) = \pi_x \circ H_k^{-1}(w)$. Since the norm, $\|\,\partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(\tau_k)\|$ exponentially converges to σ as $k \to \infty$, we have the estimation, $\alpha_k = \sigma^2\left(1 + O(\rho^k)\right)$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$. The above constants $|t_k|, |u_k|$ and $|d_k|$ are $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$ because $\|\varepsilon\|_{C_1}$ and $\|\delta\|_{C^1}$ are $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let s_k be the function defined on* (6.5)*. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

(1) $|\,\partial_x s_k| = O(1)$, $\quad |\,\partial_y s_k| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$, $\quad |\,\partial_z s_k| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$

(2) $|\,\partial^2_{xx} s_k| = O(1)$, $\quad |\,\partial^2_{xy} s_k| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$, $\quad |\,\partial^2_{yy} s_k| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$

[4] If we need to distinguish the scaling maps, Ψ_k^n around tip from its composition with translations, then we use the notation, $\Psi^n_{k,\,\text{tip}}$.

(3) $|\,\partial^2_{yz}s_k| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}), \qquad |\,\partial^2_{zx}s_k| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}), \qquad |\,\partial^2_{zz}s_k| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k})$

(4) $|\,r_k(y)| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}), \qquad |\,r_k'(y)| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}), \qquad |\,r_k''(y)| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k})$

Proof. The map Ψ_k has the two expressions, $D_k \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{s}_k)$ and $T_k \circ H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k \circ T_{k+1}^{-1}$, that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_k &= D_k \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{s}_k)(w)\\ &= T_k \circ H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1} \circ T_{k+1}^{-1}(w) = H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1}(w + \tau_{k+1}) - \tau_k\end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau_k = (\tau_k^x, \tau_k^y, \tau_k^z)$ for each $k \geq 1$. Firstly, let us compare the third coordinates of two expressions of Ψ_k.

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_k(d_k y + z + r_k(y)) &= \pi_z\big(H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1}(w + \tau_{k+1}) - \tau_k\big)\\ &= \sigma_k(z + \tau_{k+1}^z) + \delta_k\big(\,\sigma_k(y + \tau_{k+1}^y),\ f_k^{-1}(\sigma_k(y + \tau_{k+1}^y)),\ 0\big) - \tau_k^z\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following equation

$$\sigma_k r_k(y) = -\sigma_k d_k y + \delta_k\big(\sigma_k(y + \tau_{k+1}^y),\ f_k^{-1}(\sigma_k(y + \tau_{k+1}^y)),\ 0\big) + \sigma_k \tau_{k+1}^z - \tau_k^z.$$

Then $|r_k(y)| \leq C\big(\,|d_k y| + \|\delta_k\|_{C^0}\big)$ for some $C > 0$. Since $\mathrm{Dom}(\Psi_k)$ is bounded and $\|\delta_k\|$ is $O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k})$, we have $|\,r_k| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k})$. Moreover,

$$r_k'(y) = -d_k + \frac{d}{dy}\,\delta_k\big(\sigma_k(y + \tau_{k+1}^y),\ f_k^{-1}(\sigma_k(y + \tau_{k+1}^y),\ 0\big)$$

Thus $|\,r_k'|$ is bounded by $\|\,\delta\|_{C^1}$. Similarly, the second derivative $|\,r_k''|$ is also controlled by $\|\,\delta\|_{C^2}$. Then $|\,r_k'| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k})$ and $|\,r_k''| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k})$.

Secondly, compare first coordinates using (6.4) and (6.5). Thus

$$\alpha_k x + \alpha_k \cdot s_k(w) + \sigma_k t_k y + \sigma_k u_k(z + r_k(y)) = \phi_k^{-1}(\sigma_k w + \sigma_k \tau_{k+1}) - \pi_x(\tau_k). \tag{6.7}$$

It implies the following equations

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k \cdot \partial_x s_k &= \sigma_k \cdot \partial_x \phi_k^{-1} - \alpha_k\\ \alpha_k \cdot \partial_y s_k &= \sigma_k \cdot \partial_y \phi_k^{-1} - \sigma_k t_k - \sigma_k \cdot u_k r_k'(y)\\ \alpha_k \cdot \partial_z s_k &= \sigma_k \cdot \partial_z \phi_k^{-1} - \sigma_k u_k.\end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

Then by the equation (4.1), $\|\,\partial_x \phi_k^{-1}\| = O(1)$, $\|\,\partial_y \phi_k^{-1}\| = O\big(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\big)$ and $\|\,\partial_z \phi_k^{-1}\| = O\big(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\big)$. By the equation (6.6), $|\,t_k|$ and $|\,u_k|$ is $O\big(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\big)$. Hence, $\|\,\partial_x s_k\| = O(1)$, $\|\,\partial_y s_k\| = O\big(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\big)$ and $\|\,\partial_z s_k\| = O\big(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\big)$. By the above equation (6.8), each second partial derivatives of s_k are comparable with the second partial derivatives of ϕ_k^{-1} over the same variables because $|\,r_k''(y)| = O\big(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\big)$.

Let us estimate some second partial derivatives of ϕ_k^{-1}. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_k^{-1}(w) &= f_k^{-1}(x + \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w))\\ \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w) &= \varepsilon_k(\phi_k^{-1}(w),\, y,\, z + \delta_k(y, f^{-1}(y), 0)).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(w) &= (f_k^{-1})'(x + \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) \cdot \big[\, 1 + \partial_x(\varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) \,\big] \\
\partial_x(\varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) &= \partial_x \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w) \cdot \partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(w) \\
\partial_{xx}(\varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) &= \partial_x(\varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(w) + \partial_x \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w) \cdot \partial_{xx} \phi_k^{-1}(w) \\
&= \partial_x \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w) \cdot \big[\, \partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(w) \,\big]^2 + \partial_x \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w) \cdot \partial_{xx} \phi_k^{-1}(w).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\|\varepsilon_k\|_{C^2}$ and $\|\delta_k\|_{C^2}$ bounds the norm of every second derivatives of $\|\phi_k^{-1}\|$ except $\|\partial_{xx}\phi_k^{-1}(w)\|$. Let us estimate $\partial_{xx}\phi_k^{-1}(w)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{xx} \phi_k^{-1}(w) = \; & (f_k^{-1})''(x + \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) \cdot \big[\, 1 + \partial_x(\varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) \,\big] \\
& + (f_k^{-1})'(x + \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) \cdot \partial_{xx}(\varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\|\varepsilon_k\|_{C^2}$ and $\|\delta_k\|_{C^2}$ are $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$. Since both $\|(f^{-1})'\|$ and $\|(f^{-1})''\|$ are $O(1)$, so is $\|\partial_{xx}\phi_k^{-1}\|$. Any other second derivative of $\|\phi_k^{-1}\|$ is bounded by $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$. For example, the following estimation,

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{yx} \phi_k^{-1}(w) = \partial_{xx}\phi_k^{-1}(w) \cdot & \left[\partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w) + \partial_z \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w) \cdot \frac{d}{dy}\, \delta_k(y, f_k^{-1}(y), 0) \right] \\
& + \partial_x \phi_k^{-1}(w) \cdot \left[\partial_x(\partial_y \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) + \partial_x(\partial_z \varepsilon_k \circ H_k^{-1}(w)) \cdot \frac{d}{dy}\, \delta_k(y, f_k^{-1}(y), 0) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

implies that $\|\,\partial_{yx}\phi_k^{-1}\|$ is bounded by $O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big)$. The norm estimation of other second partial derivatives of ϕ_k^{-1} is left to the reader. □

6.2. The estimation of non linear part S_k^n from level k to the fixed level n. We consider the behavior of non linear scaling map from k^{th} level to n^{th} level. Let

$$\Psi_k^n = \Psi_k \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{n-1}, \quad B_k^n = \operatorname{Im} \Psi_k^n$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\operatorname{diam}(B_k^n) = O(\sigma^{n-k}) \qquad \text{for} \quad k < n$$

Then combining Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 6.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.2. *For all points $w = (x, y, z) \in B_k^n$ and where $k < n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\,\partial_x s_k(w)| = O(\sigma^{n-k}) \qquad |\,\partial_y s_k(w)| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}\big) \qquad |\,\partial_z s_k(w)| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}\big) \\
&|r_k'(y)| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}\big) \qquad |r_k''(y)| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition, $s_k(w)$ is quadratic and higher order terms at the tip, τ_k. Similarly, $r_k'(y)$ only contains quadratic and higher order terms at the tip. Using Taylor's expansion and the fact that $\operatorname{diam}(B_k^n) = O(\sigma^{n-k})$, we obtain the result of corollary. □

Since the origin is the fixed point of every Ψ_j, derivative of Ψ_k^n at the origin is the composition of consecutive D_is for $k \le i \le n-1$

$$D_k^n = D_k \circ D_{k+1} \circ \cdots \circ D_{n-1}.$$

Moreover, we can decompose D_k^n into two matrices, the matrix whose diagonal entries are ones and the diagonal matrix by reshuffling.

Remark 6.1. The notations $t_{n+1,\,n}, u_{n+1,\,n}, d_{n+1,\,n}$ are simplified as t_n, u_n, d_n like the notations used in (6.4). Similarly, $\alpha_{n+1,\,n}, \sigma_{n+1,\,n}$ are abbreviated as α_n, σ_n respectively. For instance, we let $\alpha_n = \sigma^2(1 + O(\rho^n))$, $\sigma_n = -\sigma(1 + O(\rho^n))$. Using the similar abbreviation, D_n denote D_n^{n+1} and s_n does s_n^{n+1}.

Lemma 6.3. *The derivative of Ψ_k^n at the origin, D_k^n is decomposed into the dilation and other parts as follows*

$$D_k^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t_{n,\,k} & u_{n,\,k} \\ & 1 & \\ & d_{n,\,k} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k} & & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k} & \\ & & \sigma_{n,\,k} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\alpha_{n,\,k} = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^k))$ and $\sigma_{n,\,k} = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^k))$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Each $t_{n,\,k}$, $u_{n,\,k}$ and $d_{n,\,k}$ are comparable with $t_{k+1,\,k}$, $u_{k+1,\,k}$ and $d_{k+1,\,k}$ respectively and converges to the numbers $t_{*,\,k}$, $u_{*,\,k}$ and $d_{*,\,k}$ respectively super exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Using the definition of each derivatives of Ψ_j on the equation (6.4) at the origin, we obtain

$$D_k^n = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} D_j = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_j & \sigma_j\, t_j & \sigma_j\, u_j \\ & \sigma_j & \\ & \sigma_j\, d_j & \sigma_j \end{pmatrix}.$$

By the straightforward calculation, we have following expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,\,k} &= \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \sigma_j = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} (-\sigma)(1 + O(\rho^j)) = (-\sigma)^{n-k}(1 + O(\rho^k)) \\ \alpha_{n,\,k} &= \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \alpha_j = \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} \sigma^2(1 + O(\rho^j)) = \sigma^{2(n-k)}(1 + O(\rho^k)) \end{aligned} \qquad . \tag{6.9}$$

By the definition of $d_{n,\,k}$ and (6.9), each components of the diffeomorphic part and the scaling part are separated

$$\begin{aligned} d_{n,\,k} &= \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} d_j \\ u_{n,\,k} &= \sum_{j=k}^{n-1} (-\sigma)^{j-k} u_j\, (1 + O(\rho^k)) \\ t_{n,\,k} &= \sum_{j=k}^{n-2} (-\sigma)^{j-k} \left[u_j \sum_{i=j}^{n-2} d_{i+1} + t_j + t_{n-1} \right] (1 + O(\rho^k)). \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Since $|d_j| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^j}\big)$, $|u_j| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^j}\big)$ and $|t_j| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^j}\big)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, each terms of the series in (6.10) shrink super exponentially fast. Then the sum $d_{n,\,k}$, $u_{n,\,k}$ and $t_{n,\,k}$ are comparable

with the first terms of each series. Moreover, $d_{n,\,k}$, $u_{n,\,k}$ and $t_{n,\,k}$ converge to some numbers $d_{*,\,k}$, $u_{*,\,k}$ and $t_{*,\,k}$ as $n \to \infty$ super exponentially fast respectively. □

After reshuffling Ψ_k^n, we can factor out D_k^n from the map Ψ_k^n. Then we have

$$\Psi_k^n = D_k^n \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{S}_k^n) \tag{6.11}$$

where $\mathbf{S}_k^n = (S_k^n(w),\ 0,\ R_k^n(y))$. Observe that R_k^n depends only on y by the direct calculation of $H_k^{-1} \circ \Lambda_k^{-1} \circ \cdots \circ H_{n-1}^{-1} \circ \Lambda_{n-1}^{-1}$.

Proposition 6.4. *The third coordinate of $\mathbf{S}_k^n$, $R_k^n(y)$ has the following norm estimations*

$$|R_k^n| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\big), \quad |(R_k^n)'| = O\big(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}\big).$$

for all $w \in B(R^n F)$ and for all $k < n$.

Proof. The proof is involved with the recursive formula between each partial derivatives of S_k^n and S_{k+1}^n. Thus we need some intermediate calculations. Denote $\Psi_{k+1}^n(w)$ by $w_{k+1}^n = (x_{k+1}^n, y_{k+1}^n, z_{k+1}^n) \in B_{k+1}^n$.
By the equation (6.11), we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_{k+1}^n \\ y_{k+1}^n \\ z_{k+1}^n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,k+1} & \sigma_{n,\,k+1} \cdot t_{n,\,k+1} & \sigma_{n,\,k+1} \cdot u_{n,\,k+1} \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k+1} & \\ & \sigma_{n,\,k+1} \cdot d_{n,\,k+1} & \sigma_{n,\,k+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + S_{k+1}^n(w) \\ y \\ z + R_{k+1}^n(y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then each coordinate of w_{k+1}^n is

$$\begin{aligned} x_{k+1}^n &= \alpha_{n,\,k+1}(x + S_{k+1}^n(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,k+1} t_{n,\,k+1} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,k+1} u_{n,\,k+1}(z + R_{k+1}^n(y)) \\ y_{k+1}^n &= \sigma_{n,\,k+1} \cdot y \\ z_{k+1}^n &= \sigma_{n,\,k+1}\, d_{n,\,k+1} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,k+1}(z + R_{k+1}^n(y)). \end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

For any fixed $k < n$, the recursive formula of Ψ_k^n is

$$\begin{aligned} D_k^n \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{S}_k^n) = \Psi_k^n = \Psi_k \circ \Psi_{k+1}^n &= D_k \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{s}_k) \circ \Psi_{k+1}^n \\ &= D_k^n \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{S}_{k+1}^n) + D_k \circ \mathbf{s}_k \circ \Psi_{k+1}^n \\ \text{Thus} \qquad \Psi_k^n(w) &= D_k^n \circ (\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{S}_{k+1}^n)(w) + D_k \circ \mathbf{s}_k(w_{k+1}^n) \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

and note that

$$D_k \circ \mathbf{s}_k(w_{k+1}^n) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_k & \sigma_k t_k & \sigma_k u_k \\ & \sigma_k & \\ & \sigma_k d_k & \sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_k(w_{k+1}^n) \\ 0 \\ r_k(y_{k+1}^n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to estimate of $R_k^n(y)$, compare the third coordinates of functions in (6.13). Recall $\sigma^{-1} = \lambda$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} z_k^n &= \sigma_{n,\,k}\, d_{n,\,k} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,k}(z + R_k^n(y)) \\ &= \sigma_{n,\,k}\, d_{n,\,k} \cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,k}(z + R_{k+1}^n(y)) + \sigma_k \cdot r_k(y_{k+1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$R_k^n(y) = R_{k+1}^n(y) + \sigma_{n,\,k}^{-1} \cdot \sigma_k \cdot r_k(y_{k+1}^n)$$

where $\sigma_{n,\,k}^{-1}\cdot\sigma_k$ is $(-\lambda)^{n-k-1}(1+O(\rho^k))$. By the recursive relation between $R_k^n(y)$, $R_{k+1}^n(y)$ and the bounds of $r_k(y_{k+1}^n)$, we obtain that

$$R_k^n(y) = R_{k+1}^n(y) + O\big((-\lambda)^{n-k-1} r_k(y_{k+1}^n)\big), \quad (R_k^n)'(y) \quad = (R_{k+1}^n)'(y) + O\big(r_k'(y_{k+1}^n)\big)$$

Hence, by the equation (6.12), we have

$$|R_k^n| \le |R_{k+1}^n| + K_0\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}, \quad |(R_k^n)'| \ \le |(R_{k+1}^n)'| + K_1\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}$$

for all $k<n$. Then,

$$|R_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}), \quad |(R_k^n)'| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k})$$

for all $k<n$. □

Lemma 6.5. *For $k<n$, we have*

(1) $|\partial_x S_k^n| \ = O(1)$, $\quad |\partial_y S_k^n| \ = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$, $\quad |\partial_z S_k^n| \ = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$
(2) $|\partial_{xy}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k})$, $\quad |\partial_{xz}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k})$
(3) $|\partial_{yz}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$, $\quad |\partial_{zz}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$.

Proof. Compare the first coordinates of Ψ_k^n in (6.13). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} x_k^n &= \alpha_{n,\,k}(x + S_k^n(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,k}\, t_{n,\,k}\cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,k}\, u_{n,\,k}\big(z + R_k^n(y)\big) \\ &= \alpha_{n,\,k}(x + S_{k+1}^n(w)) + \sigma_{n,\,k}\, t_{n,\,k}\cdot y + \sigma_{n,\,k}\, u_{n,\,k}\big(z + R_{k+1}^n(y)\big) + \alpha_k\cdot s_k(w_{k+1}^n) \\ &\quad + \sigma_k u_k\cdot r_k(y_{k+1}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Then the recursive formula for S_k^n is as follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_k^n(w) = S_{k+1}^n(w) &+ \alpha_{n,\,k}^{-1}\alpha_k\cdot s_k(w_{k+1}^n) + \alpha_{n,\,k}^{-1}\sigma_{n,\,k}\, u_{n,\,k}\big(R_{k+1}^n(y) - R_k^n(y)\big) \\ &+ \alpha_{n,\,k}^{-1}\sigma_k\, u_k\cdot r_k(y_{k+1}^n). \end{aligned}$$

Let us take the first partial derivatives of the above equation in order to have the recursive formulas of each first partial derivatives of $S_k^n(w)$. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial x}\left(1 + \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right) + \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n} \\ \frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial y} &= \left(1 + \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right)\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial y} + K_1\lambda^{n-k-1}\left[\Big(t_{n,\,k+1} + u_{n,\,k+1}\cdot(R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\Big)\frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. + \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial y_{k+1}^n} + \Big(d_{n,\,k+1} + (R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\Big)\frac{\partial s_k}{\partial z_{k+1}^n}\right] \\ &\qquad + K_1\lambda^{n-k-1}u_{n,\,k}\Big((R_{k+1}^n)'(y) - (R_k^n)'(y)\Big) + K_2\lambda^{n-k}u_k\cdot r_k'(y_n^{k+1}) \\ \frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial z} &= \left(1 + \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right)\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z} + K_1\lambda^{n-k-1}\left[u_{n,\,k+1}\frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n} + \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial z_{k+1}^n}\right] \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_{n,\,k}^{-1}\alpha_k\sigma_{n,\,k+1} = K_1(-\lambda)^{n-k-1}$ and $\alpha_{n,\,k}^{-1}\sigma_{n,\,k+1} = K_2(-\lambda)^{n-k+1}$. By Corollary 6.2 and Proposition 6.4, $|\,\partial s_k/\partial x_{k+1}^n|$ is $O(\sigma^{n-k})$ and $|\,\partial s_k/\partial y_{k+1}^n|$ and $|\,\partial s_k/\partial z_{k+1}^n|$ is $O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k})$. Moreover, $|t_{n,\,k}|$, $|u_{n,\,k}|$ and $|d_{n,\,k}|$ are $O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$. With all these facts, each partial derivatives of S_k^n has bounds as follows

$$\left|\frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial x}\right| \le (1+O(\rho^{n-k}))\left|\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial x}\right| + C\sigma^{n-k}, \qquad \left|\frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial y}\right| \le \quad \big(1+O(\rho^{n-k})\big)\left|\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial y}\right| + C\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}$$
$$\left|\frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial z}\right| \le \big(1+O(\rho^{n-k})\big)\left|\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z}\right| + C\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}$$

for some constant $C>0$ and $\rho\in(0,1)$. Hence, using above recursive formulas we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial x}\right| = O(\sigma), \quad \left|\frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial y}\right| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}) \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\partial S_k^n}{\partial z}\right| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$$

for all $k<n$. The second partial derivatives of S_k^n are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial xy} &= \left(1+\frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right)\frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial xy} + \alpha_{n,\,k+1}\left(1+\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (x_{k+1}^n)^2}\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial y} \\
&\quad + \sigma_{n,\,k+1}\left(1+\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial x}\right)\left[\Big(t_{n,\,k+1}+u_{n,\,k+1}(R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\Big)\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (x_{k+1}^n)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n y_{k+1}^n}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Big(d_{n,\,k+1}+(R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\Big)\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n z_{k+1}^n}\right] \\
\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial xz} &= \left(1+\frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right)\frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial xz} + \alpha_{n,\,k+1}\left(1+\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial x}\right)\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (x_{k+1}^n)^2}\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z} \\
&\quad + \sigma_{n,\,k+1}\left(1+\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial x}\right)\cdot\left[u_{n,\,k+1}\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (x_{k+1}^n)^2} + \frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n z_{k+1}^n}\right] \\
\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial yz} &= \left(1+\frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right)\frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial yz} + \left[\alpha_{n,\,k+1}\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z}\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial y} + \sigma_{n,\,k+1}u_{n,\,k+1}\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial y}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_{n,\,k+1}\Big(t_{n,\,k+1}+u_{n,\,k+1}(R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\Big)\Big(\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z} + K_1(-\lambda)^{n-k-1}u_{n,\,k+1}\Big)\right]\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (x_{k+1}^n)^2} \\
&\quad + \left(\sigma_{n,\,k+1}\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z} + K_4 u_{n,\,k+1}\right)\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n y_{k+1}^n} \\
&\quad + \sigma_{n,\,k+1}\left[\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial y} + \big(d_{n,\,k+1}+(R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\big)\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z}\right. \\
&\quad \left. + K_4\left(t_{n,\,k+1}+u_{n,\,k+1}\,d_{n,\,k+1}+2u_{n,\,k+1}(R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\right)\right]\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n z_{k+1}^n} \\
&\quad + K_4\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial y_{k+1}^n z_{k+1}^n} + K_4\Big(d_{n,\,k+1}+(R_{k+1}^n)'(y)\Big)\frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (z_{k+1}^n)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial z^2} = \left(1 + \frac{\partial s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n}\right) \frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z^2}$$
$$+ \left(\alpha_{n,\,k+1} \left(\frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z}\right)^2 + \sigma_{n,\,k+1}(1 + u_{n,\,k+1}) \frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z} + K_4 u_{n,\,k+1}^2\right) \frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (x_{k+1}^n)^2}$$
$$+ 2\left(\sigma_{n,\,k+1} \frac{\partial S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z} + K_4 u_{n,\,k+1}\right) \frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial x_{k+1}^n z_{k+1}^n} + K_4 \frac{\partial^2 s_k}{\partial (z_{k+1}^n)^2}$$

where $K_4 = \alpha_{n,\,k}^{-1}\, \alpha_k\, \sigma_{n,\,k+1}^2 = O(1)$.

By Lemma 6.8, Corollary 6.2, and Proposition 6.4, the bounds of $|\,\partial^2 s_k/\partial (x_{k+1}^n)^2|$ is $O(\sigma^{n-k})$ and $|\,\partial^2 s_k/\partial uv|$ is $O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k})$ where $u, v = x_{k+1}^n, y_{k+1}^n, z_{k+1}^n$ except that both u and v are not x_{k+1}^n simultaneously. The norm of the first and the second partial derivatives of s_k and the estimation of $|\,t_{n,\,k}|, |\,u_{n,\,k}|$ and $|\,d_{n,\,k}|$ imply the bounds of norm of the second partial derivatives of S_k^n as follows.

$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial xy}\right| \le \left(1 + O(\rho^{n-k})\right) \left|\frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial xy}\right| + C\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}$$
$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial xz}\right| \le \left(1 + O(\rho^{n-k})\right) \left|\frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial xz}\right| + C\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}$$
$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial yz}\right| \le \left(1 + O(\rho^{n-k})\right) \left|\frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial yz}\right| + C\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}$$
$$\left|\frac{\partial^2 S_k^n}{\partial z^2}\right| \le \left(1 + O(\rho^{n-k})\right) \left|\frac{\partial^2 S_{k+1}^n}{\partial z^2}\right| + C\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\ .$$

Hence, $|\partial_{xy}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k})$, $|\partial_{xz}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k})$, $|\partial_{yz}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$, and $|\partial_{zz}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$. □

6.3. Universal properties of the coordinate change map Ψ_k^n. On the following Lemma 6.6, we would show that the non-linear part of $\mathrm{id} + S(x, y, z)$ is a small perturbation of the one-dimensional universal function.

Let us normalize the maps, u_* and g_* in Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. Let the fixed point move to the origin and let the derivatives at the origin is one. Define the map $v_*(x)$ as follows

$$v_*(x) = \frac{u_*(x+1) - 1}{u_*'(1)}$$

Abusing notation, denote the normalized function of $g_*(x)$ to be also the $g_*(x)$ in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.6. *There exists a positive constant $\rho < 1$ such that for all $k < n$ and for every $y \in I^y$ and $z \in I^z$*

$$|\,\mathrm{id} + S_k^n(\,\cdot\,, y, z) - v_*(\,\cdot\,)| = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} y + \bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k} z + \rho^{n-k})$$
$$\textit{and} \quad |1 + \partial_x S_k^n(\,\cdot\,, y, z) - v_*'(\,\cdot\,)| = O(\rho^{n-k}).$$

Proof. The map $\mathrm{id}+S_k^n(\,\cdot\,,y,z)$ is the normalized function of Ψ_k^n such that the derivative at the origin is the identity map, and $v_*(\,\cdot\,)$ is also the normalized map of u_*, which is the conjugation of the renormalization fixed point at the critical point and the critical value in Lemma 2.1. Thus the normalized map, $\mathrm{id}+S_k^n(\,\cdot\,,0,0)$ and the one dimensional map, G_*^n converge to the same function $v_*(\,\cdot\,)$ as $n\to\infty$ because the critical value of f and the tip of F moved to the origin as the fixed point of each function g_*^n by the appropriate affine conjugation.

By Lemma 6.5 we have

$$|\,\partial_y S_k^n|\ = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}),\qquad |\,\partial_z S_k^n|\ = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k})$$

and moreover,

$$|\,\partial_{xy}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}),\qquad |\,\partial_{xz}^2 S_k^n| = O(\bar\varepsilon^{2^k}\sigma^{n-k}).$$

Thus the proof of asymptotic along the section parallel to $x-$axis is enough to prove the whole lemma. By Lemma 3.4,

$$\mathrm{dist}_{C^3}(\,\mathrm{id}+s_k(\,\cdot\,,0,0),\ g_*(\,\cdot\,)) = O(\rho^k)$$

and by Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\mathrm{dist}_{C^1}(\,\mathrm{id}+S_k^n(\,\cdot\,,0,0),\ G_*^{n-k}(\,\cdot\,)) = O(\rho^{n-k}). \tag{6.14}$$

Since the $G_*^n\to v_*$ exponentially fast, we have the exponential convergence of $\mathrm{id}+S_k^n(\,\cdot\,,0,0)$ to $v_*(\,\cdot\,)$. Hence, the above asymptotic and the exponential convergence at the origin prove the first part of the lemma. Furthermore, C^1 convergence of (6.14) implies that

$$|\,1+\partial_x S_k^n(\,\cdot\,,0,0) - v_*'(\,\cdot\,)| = O(\rho^{n-k})$$

where $\rho\in(0,1)$. □

6.4. Estimation of the quadratic part of S_k^n for n. We estimate the asymptotic of S_k^n using the estimation of partial derivatives and recursive formulas. Then it implies the asymptotic of non-linear part of Ψ_k^n for n. In order to simplify notations, we would treat the case $k=0$ and consider the behavior of S_0^n instead of S_k^n.

Lemma 6.7. *The following asymptotic is true*

$$|[\,x+S_0^n(x,y,z)] - [\,v_*(x)+a_{F,1}\,y^2+a_{F,2}\,yz+a_{F,3}\,z^2]| = O(\rho^n)$$

where constants $|a_{F,1}|,|a_{F,2}|\ |a_{F,3}|$ are $O(\bar\varepsilon)$ for some $\rho\in(0,1)$.

Proof. For any fixed $k\ge 0$, the recursive formula for $n>k$ comes from the $\Psi_k^{n+1}=\Psi_k^n\circ\Psi_n^{n+1}$. Thus by the equation (6.13), we have

$$\mathbf{S}_k^{n+1}(w) = \mathbf{s}_n(w) + D_n^{-1}\circ\mathbf{S}_k^n\circ D_n\circ(\mathrm{id}+\mathbf{s}_n)(w). \tag{6.15}$$

Let $k=0$ for simplicity, and compare each coordinates of the both sides. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(S_0^{n+1}(w),\ 0,\ R_0^{n+1}(y))\\
&= (s_n(w),\ 0,\ r_n(y)) + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n^{-1} & \alpha_n^{-1}(-t_n + d_n u_n) & -\alpha_n^{-1} u_n \\ & \sigma_n^{-1} & \\ & -\sigma_n^{-1} d_n & \sigma_n^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_0^n(w) \\ 0 \\ R_0^n(y) \end{pmatrix}\\
&\qquad\qquad \circ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n & \sigma_n t_n & \sigma_n u_n \\ & \sigma_n & \\ & \sigma_n d_n & \sigma_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x + s_n(w) \\ y \\ z + r_n(y) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain the following equation by the straightforward calculations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(S_0^{n+1}(w),\ 0,\ R_0^{n+1}(y))\\
&= (s_n(w),\ 0,\ r_n(y)) + \Big(\frac{1}{\alpha_n} S_0^n(w) - \frac{1}{\alpha_n} u_n R_0^n(y),\ 0,\ \frac{1}{\sigma_n} R_0^n(y)\Big) \circ\\
&\quad \Big(\alpha_n(x + s_n(w)) + \sigma_n t_n y + \sigma_n u_n(z + r_n(y)),\ \sigma_n y,\ \sigma_n d_n y + \sigma_n(z + r_n(y))\Big)\\
&= (s_n(w),\ 0,\ r_n(y))\\
&\quad + \Big(\frac{1}{\alpha_n} S_0^n\Big(\alpha_n(x + s_n(w)) + \sigma_n t_n y + \sigma_n u_n(z + r_n(y)),\ \sigma_n y,\ \sigma_n d_n y + \sigma_n(z + r_n(y))\Big)\\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{\alpha_n} u_n R_0^n(\sigma_n y),\ 0,\ \frac{1}{\sigma_n} R_0^n(\sigma_n y)\Big).
\end{aligned}
$$

Firstly, let us compare the third coordinates of each side of the above equation. Using Taylor's expansion and Lemma 6.1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_0^{n+1}(y) &= r_n(y) + \frac{1}{\sigma_n} R_0^n(\sigma_n y)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sigma_n} R_0^n(\sigma_n y) + c_n y^2 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} y^3) \quad \text{where } c_n = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have the following form of $R_0^n(y)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_0^n(y) &= a_n y^2 + A_n(y) y^3\\
R_0^{n+1}(y) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_n}\Big(a_n(\sigma_n y)^2 + A_n(\sigma_n y) \cdot (\sigma_n y)^3\Big) + c_n y^2 + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n} y^3).
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $a_{n+1} = \sigma_n a_n + c_n$ and $\|A_{n+1}\| \le \|\sigma_n\|^2 \|A_n\| + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$. Hence, $A_n \to 0$ and $a_n \to 0$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. The image of the vertical plane $(y, z) \to (0, y, z)$ under the map, $\mathrm{id} + \mathbf{S}_0^n$ is the graph of the function $\xi_n \colon \mathbf{I}^v \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$
\xi_n(y, z) = (S_0^n(0, y, z),\ 0,\ R_0^n(y)).
$$

Since $R_0^n(y)$ is vanished exponentially fast, $|\,\xi_n(y, z)| = |\,S_0^n(0, y, z)| + O(\rho^n)$. Moreover, the second part of Lemma 6.6 implies the following equation

$$
\big|[\,x + S_0^n(x, y, z)] - [\,v_*(x) + S_0^n(0, y, z)]\big| = O(\rho^n). \tag{6.16}
$$

Secondly, compare the first coordinates of the equation (6.15) at $(0, y, z)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_0^{n+1}(0,y,z)\\
&= s_n(0,y,z) + \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\, S_0^n\Big(\alpha_n s_n(0,y,z) + \sigma_n t_n y + \sigma_n u_n(z + r_n(y)),\ \sigma_n y,\ \sigma_n d_n y + \sigma_n(z + r_n(y))\Big)\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\, u_n R_0^n(\sigma_n y).
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimation of $|\partial^2_{xy} S_k^n|$, $|\partial^2_{xz} S_k^n|$ and $|\partial^2_{yz} S_k^n|$, $|\partial^2_{zz} S_k^n|$ in Lemma 6.5 implies that

$$
\frac{\partial S_0^n}{\partial x}(0,y,z) = O(\sigma^n y + \sigma^n z) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial S_0^n}{\partial z}(0,y,z) = O(y+z)
$$

respectively. The order of t_n, u_n, r_n and Taylor's expansion of S_0^n at $(0, \sigma_n y, \sigma_n z)$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_0^{n+1}(0,y,z)\\
&= s_n(0,y,z)\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\left[S_0^n(0,\, \sigma_n y,\, \sigma_n z) + \frac{\partial S_0^n}{\partial x}(0,\, \sigma_n y,\, \sigma_n z)\cdot\Big(\alpha_n s_n(0,y,z) + \sigma_n t_n y + \sigma_n u_n(z + r_n(y))\Big)\right.\\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\partial S_0^n}{\partial z}(0,\, \sigma_n y,\, \sigma_n z)\cdot\Big(\sigma_n d_n y + \sigma_n r_n(y)\Big)\right] - \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\, u_n R_0^n(\sigma_n y) + O\left(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\sum_{j=0}^{3} y^{3-j} z^j\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\, S_0^n(0,\, \sigma_n y,\, \sigma_n z) + \sum_{i=0}^{2} e_{n,\,i}\, y^{2-i} z^i + O\left(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\sum_{j=0}^{3} y^{3-j} z^j\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e_{n,\,i} = O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Then we can express $S_0^n(0,y,z)$ as the quadratic and higher order terms,

$$
S_0^n(0,y,z) = a_{n,\,1}\, y^2 + a_{n,\,2}\, yz + a_{n,\,3}\, z^2 + A_n(y,z)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{3} c_j\, y^{3-j} z^j\right).
$$

The recursive formula for $S_0^n(0,y,z)$ implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&S_0^{n+1}(0,y,z)\\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha_n}\left[a_{n,\,1}(\sigma_n y)^2 + a_{n,\,2}(\sigma_n y\ \sigma_n z) + a_{n,\,3}(\sigma_n z)^2 + A_n(\sigma_n y, \sigma_n z)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{3} c_j\,(\sigma_n y)^{3-j}(\sigma_n z)^j\right)\right]\\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{2} e_{n,\,i}\, y^{2-i} z^i + O\left(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n}\sum_{j=0}^{3} y^{3-j} z^j\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $a_{n+1,\,i} = \dfrac{\sigma^2}{\alpha_n}\, a_{n,\,i} + \displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{2} e_{n,\,j}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and moreover, $\|A_{n+1}\| \le \|A_n\| \cdot \dfrac{|\sigma_n|^3}{|\alpha_n|} + O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^n})$. It implies that $a_{n,\,i} \to a_{F,\,i}$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $\|A_n\| \to 0$ exponentially fast as $n \to \infty$. The exponential convergence of $S_0^n(0,y,z)$ to the quadratic function of y and z and the equation (6.16) show the asymptotic of $S_0^n(x,y,z)$. □

Remark 6.2. The above Lemma can be generalized for S_k^n as follows

$$\big|[\, x + S_k^n(x,y,z)] - [\, v_*(x) + a_{F,1}\, y^2 + a_{F,2}\, yz + a_{F,3}\, z^2]\big| = O(\rho^{n-k}).$$

The constants $|a_{F,i}|$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ of S_k^n are $O(\bar{\varepsilon}^{2^k})$.

6.5. Universality of Jacobian determinant, $\operatorname{Jac} R^n F$**.** Let the n^{th} renormalized map of F be $R^n F \equiv F_n = (f_n - \varepsilon_n,\ x,\ \delta_n)$. Recall that $\Psi^n_{\text{tip}} \equiv \Psi^n_{v^n}$ from n^{th} level to 0^{th} level and the tip τ_F is contained in $B^n_{v^n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus Ψ^n_{tip} is the original coordinate change map rather than the normalized function Ψ^n_0 conjugated by translations T_n. Recall the equation (6.1) again

$$\operatorname{Jac} F_n(w) = \operatorname{Jac} F^{2^n}(\Psi^n_{\text{tip}}(w)) \frac{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}(w)}{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}(F_n w)} = b^{2^n} \frac{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}(w)}{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}(F_n w)} (1 + O(\rho^n)).$$

Theorem 6.8 (Universal expression of Jacobian determinant)**.** *Let F be the three dimensional Hénon-like diffeomorphism $\mathcal{I}_B(\bar{\varepsilon})$ for sufficiently small $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$, we obtain that*

$$\operatorname{Jac} F_n = b_F^{2^n}\, a(x)\, (1 + O(\rho^n))$$

where b_F is the average Jacobian of F and $a(x)$ is the universal positive function for some $\rho \in (0,1)$.

Proof. Let us consider the affine maps

$$T\colon w \mapsto w - \tau, \qquad T_n\colon w \mapsto w - \tau_n$$

where τ_n is the tip of $R^n F$. Then we can consider the map

$$L^n\colon w \mapsto (D_0^n)^{-1}(w - \tau)$$

as the local chart of B^n. On these local charts, we write maps with the boldfaced letters if the maps are conjugated by its local charts in this proof.

$$\mathbf{F}_n = T_n \circ F_n \circ T_n^{-1}, \qquad \mathrm{id} + \mathbf{S}_0^n = L^n \circ \Psi^n_{\text{tip}} \circ T_n^{-1}$$

By the definition of coordinate change map, Ψ^n_{tip} and the normalized map, Ψ^n_0, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
T_n(B) & \xleftarrow{T_n} & B & \xrightarrow{F_n} & F_n(B) & \xrightarrow{T_n} & (T_n \circ F_n)(B) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi_0^n} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Psi_0^n} \\
T(B_n) & \xleftarrow{T} & B^n & \xrightarrow{F^{2^n}} & F^{2^n}(B^n) & \xrightarrow{T} & (T \circ F^{2^n})(B^n)
\end{array}$$

Since any translation does not affect Jacobian determinant, the ratio of Jacobian determinant of coordinate change maps is as follows

$$\frac{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}(w)}{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_{\text{tip}}(F_n w)} = \frac{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_0(\mathbf{w}_n)}{\operatorname{Jac} \Psi^n_0(\mathbf{F}_n \mathbf{w}_n)} = \frac{1 + \partial_x S_0^n(\mathbf{w}_n)}{1 + \partial_x S_0^n(\mathbf{F}_n \mathbf{w}_n)} \tag{6.17}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_n = T_n(w)$. By Theorem 3.4, the tip, τ_n converges to $\tau_\infty = (f_*(c_*),\ c_*,\ 0)$ exponentially fast where c_* is the critical point of $f_*(x)$. It implies the following limits

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &\to T_\infty \colon w \mapsto w - \tau_\infty \\ \mathbf{w}_n = T_n(w) &\to T_\infty(w) \\ \mathbf{F}_n\mathbf{w}_n &\to \mathbf{F}_* \circ T_\infty(w) = T_\infty \circ F_*(w) = (f_*(x) - f_*(c_*),\ x - c_*,\ 0) \end{aligned}$$

and each convergence is exponentially fast. Hence, Lemma 6.7 implies that the following convergence

$$1 + \partial_x S_0^n \to v_*' \tag{6.18}$$

is exponentially fast. The equations (6.17), (6.18) and convergence of $\mathbf{F}_n\mathbf{w}_n$ to the $\mathbf{F}_* \circ T_\infty$ imply the following convergence

$$\frac{\operatorname{Jac}\Psi_{\text{tip}}^n(w)}{\operatorname{Jac}\Psi_{\text{tip}}^n(F_n w)} \longrightarrow \frac{v_*'\big(x - c_*\big)}{v_*'\big(f_*(x) - f_*(c_*)\big)} \equiv a(x) \tag{6.19}$$

where $w = (x, y, z)$. Moreover, this convergence is exponentially fast.

The positivity of $a(x)$ comes from two facts. Firstly, Jacobian determinant of orientation preserving diffeomorphism is non-negative at every point and we assumed that each infinitely renormalizable map, $F \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$, is orientation preserving on each level. Secondly, renormalization theory of one dimensional maps at the critical value implies the non vanishing property of v_*' with sufficiently small perturbation. □

Remark 6.3. The universality of Jacobian determinant does not imply the universality of renormalized map F_n because the Jacobian determinant of F_n, namely, $\partial_y\varepsilon_n \cdot \partial_z\delta_n - \partial_z\varepsilon_n \cdot \partial_y\delta_n$ does not imply universal expression of each element of Jacobian matrix, DF_n.

7. Toy model Hénon-like map in three dimension

Let Hénon-like map satisfying $\varepsilon(w) = \varepsilon(x, y)$, that is, $\partial_z\varepsilon \equiv 0$ be *toy model Hénon-like map*. Denote the toy model map by F_{mod}. Then the projected map $\pi_{xy} \circ F_{\text{mod}} = F_{2d}$ from B to $\mathbb{R}^2$ is exactly two dimensional Hénon-like map. Let the horizontal-like diffeomorphism of F_{mod} be H_{mod}. Thus $\pi_{xy} \circ H_{\text{mod}}$ is the horizontal map, H_{2d} of F_{2d}. If F_{mod} is renormalizable map, then renormalization of toy model map is a skew product of renormalization of two dimensional Hénon-like map. In other words, we have $\pi_{xy} \circ RF_{\text{mod}} = RF_{2d}$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $F_{\text{mod}} = (f(x) - \varepsilon(x, y),\ x,\ \delta(w))$ be a toy model map in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Then n^{th} renormalized map R^nF_{mod} is also a toy model map, that is,*

$$\pi_{xy} \circ R^nF_{\text{mod}} = R^nF_{2d}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\varepsilon_n(w) = b_1^{2^n} a(x) y(1 + O(\rho^n))$ where b_1 is the average Jacobian of two dimensional map, $F_{2d} = \pi_{xy} \circ F_{\text{mod}}$.

Let b be the average Jacobian of $F_{\text{mod}} \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$. Define another universal number, say b_2 is the ratio b/b_1. Then by the above Proposition $\partial_z\delta_n \asymp b_2^{2^n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

7.1. Tangent bundle splitting under DF_{mod}. Let DF be the Fréchet derivative of F. For the given point $w = (x,\, y,\, z)$, let us denote $w_i = (x_i,\, y_i,\, z_i) = F^i(x,\, y,\, z)$. The derivative of F has the block matrix form

$$DF_{\mathrm{mod}} = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & & 0 \\ & DF_{2d} & 0 \\ \hline \partial_x\delta & \partial_y\delta & \partial_z\delta \end{array}\right).$$

Let us take a simpler notation below

$$DF_{\mathrm{mod}}(x,y,z) = \begin{pmatrix} A(w) & \mathbf{0} \\ C(w) & D(w) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_w & \mathbf{0} \\ C_w & D_w \end{pmatrix}.$$

where $A_w = DF_{2d}(x,y)$, $\mathbf{0} = \binom{0}{0}$, $C_w = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x\delta(w) & \partial_y\delta(w) \end{pmatrix}$ and $D_w = \partial_z\delta(w)$. Since we assume that F_{mod} and F_{2d} are diffeomorphisms, DF_{mod} and A_w are invertible. It implies that D_w is invertible at each w. Let w_N be $F^N(w)$ and the derivative of the N^{th} iterated map F^N_{mod} be DF^N_{mod}. We express DF^N_{mod} as the block matrix form as follows

$$DF^N_{\mathrm{mod}}(x,y,z) = \begin{pmatrix} A_N(w) & \mathbf{0} \\ C_N(w) & D_N(w) \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A_N & \mathbf{0} \\ C_N & D_N \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.1}$$

Then for each $N \geq 1$,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_N & \mathbf{0} \\ C_N & D_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_1(w_{N-1}) & \mathbf{0} \\ C_1(w_{N-1}) & D_1(w_{N-1}) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} A_{N-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ C_{N-1} & D_{N-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{7.2}$$

Let $A_0 \equiv 1$, $C_0 \equiv 1$, $D_0 \equiv 1$ and $w = w_0$ for notational compatibility. Then by the direct calculations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A_N &= A_1(w_{N-1})\, A_{N-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} A_1(w_{N-i-1}) \\ D_N &= D_1(w_{N-1})\, D_{N-1} = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} D_1(w_{N-i-1}) \\ C_N &= C_1(w_{N-1})\, A_{N-1} + D_1(w_{N-1})\, C_{N-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_i(w_{N-1-i})\, C_1(w_{N-1-i})\, A_{N-1-i}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

We see that $[DF^N_{\mathrm{mod}}(w)]^{-1} = DF^{-N}_{\mathrm{mod}}(F^N(w))$ by inverse function theorem. Thus using block matrix expressions, $[DF^N_{\mathrm{mod}}(w)]^{-1}$ is

$$DF^{-N}_{\mathrm{mod}} = \begin{pmatrix} A_N^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ -D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1} & D_N^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.4}$$

at the point, $F^N(w)$.

Let us consider the tangent bundle, $T_\Gamma B$ of DF_{mod} over a compact invariant set Γ. The lower triangular block matrix of the DF_{mod} implies the existence of DF_{mod} invariant tangent subbundle, say $\mathbb{E}^1$. Then if $\|C_1\|$ is small enough, then $T_\Gamma B$ is decomposed to $\mathbb{E}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^2$ where

$\mathbb{E}^2 = T_\Gamma B/\mathbb{E}^1$. Moreover, since $B = \mathrm{Dom}(F)$ is contractible, the tangent bundle, $T_\Gamma B$ is trivial. Thus decomposition of tangent space at each point, for instance, $T_w(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}) = T_w\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus T_w\mathbb{R}$, is still true for the whole tangent bundle, that is, $\mathbb{E}^1 \times \mathbb{E}^2 = \mathbb{E}^1 \oplus \mathbb{E}^2$.

Let the cone at w with some positive number γ be

$$\mathcal{C}(\gamma)_w = \{u + v \mid u \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\},\ v \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{R} \ \text{ and } \ \frac{1}{\gamma}\|u\| > \|v\| \ \}. \tag{7.5}$$

Cone field over a given compact invariant set Γ is the union of cones at every points in Γ

$$\mathcal{C}(\gamma) = \bigcup_{w \in \Gamma} \mathcal{C}(\gamma)_w. \tag{7.6}$$

Let $\|DF\|$ be the operator norm of DF. The minimum expansion rate (or the strongest contraction rate) of DF is defined by the equation, $\|DF^{-1}\| = \dfrac{1}{m(DF)}$. [5]

Lemma 7.2. *Let A_N, $\mathbf{0}$, C_N and D_N be components of DF^N_{mod} defined on* (7.1)*. Suppose that $\|D_1\| < m(A_1)$. Then $\|C_N A_N^{-1}\| < \kappa$ for some $\kappa > 0$ independent of N.*

Proof. By (7.4),

$$A_N^{-1}(w_N) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} A_1^{-1}(w_i) = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1-i} A_1^{-1}(w_j) \prod_{j=N-i}^{N-1} A_1^{-1}(w_j) = A_{N-1-i}^{-1} A_i^{-1}(w_{N-i}) \tag{7.7}$$

By (7.3), $\|D_k\| \le \|D_1\|^k$ and by (7.7) $m(A_k) \ge m(A_1)^k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|C_N A_N^{-1}(w_N)\| &= \Big\| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_i(w_{N-1-i})\, C_1(w_{N-1-i})\, A_{N-1-i} A_N^{-1}(w_N) \Big\| \\
&= \Big\| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} D_i(w_{N-1-i})\, C_1(w_{N-1-i})\, A_i^{-1}(w_{N-i}) \Big\| \\
&\le \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \|D_i\| \|C_1\| \|A_i^{-1}\| = \|C_1\| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\|D_i\|}{m(A_i)} \\
&\le \|C_1\| \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{\|D_1\|}{m(A_1)} \right)^i \le \|C_1\| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|D_1\|}{m(A_1)} \right)^i \\
&= \frac{\|C_1\| \cdot m(A_1)}{m(A_1) - \|D_1\|}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

Then we can choose $\kappa = \dfrac{\|C_1\| \cdot m(A_1)}{m(A_1) - \|D_1\|}$ which is independent of N. □

[5] The operator norm is defined on the linear operator at each point. For example,

$$\|DF_w\| = \sup_{\|v\|=1} \{\|DF_w v\|\}$$

The value $\|DF\|$ is defines as $\sup_{w \in B} \|DF_w\|$.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $F_{\mathrm{mod}} \in \mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with small enough $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$. Suppose that $\|D_1\| \le \frac{\rho}{2} \cdot m(A_1)$ for some $\rho \in (0,1)$. Let $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ be the cone field which is defined on (7.6) with cones in (7.5). Then $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ is invariant under DF_{mod}^{-1} for all sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$. More precisely, DF_{mod} has dominated splitting over any given invariant compact set Γ.*

Proof. Let us take a vector [6] $(u\ v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ in the cone field $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ with small enough $\gamma > 0$. Since $\|u\| < \gamma\|v\|$, we may assume that $\|v\| = 1$ and $\|u\| < \gamma$. Thus for cone field invariance, it suffice to show that [7]

$$\big\|A_N^{-1}\, u\big(-D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + D_N^{-1}\big)^{-1}\big\| \le \rho_1 \|u\|$$

for some $\rho_1 \in (0,1)$. Let us calculate the lower bound of $m(-D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + D_N^{-1})$. The equation

$$-D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + D_N^{-1} = D_N^{-1}\big(-C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + \mathrm{Id}\big)$$

and Lemma 7.2, $\|-C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u\| < \kappa\gamma$. Then with the small enough $\gamma > 0$ and the definition of minimum expansion rate,

$$m(-D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + D_N^{-1}) \ge m(D_N^{-1}) \cdot (-\kappa\gamma + 1)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\|A_N^{-1}\, u\big(-D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + D_N^{-1}\big)^{-1}\big\| &\le \|A_N^{-1}\, u\|\, \big\|\big(-D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + D_N^{-1}\big)^{-1}\big\| \\
&\le \frac{\|A_N^{-1}\|\|u\|}{m\big(-D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + D_N^{-1}\big)} \\
&\le \frac{\|A_N^{-1}\|\|u\|}{m(D_N^{-1})\, m(-C_N\, A_N^{-1}\, u + \mathrm{Id})} \\
&\le \frac{\|A_N^{-1}\|\|u\|}{(1-\kappa\gamma)\, m(D_N^{-1})} \;=\; \frac{\|D_N\|}{(1-\kappa\gamma)\, m(A_N)}\, \|u\| \\
&\le \frac{1}{1-\kappa\gamma} \left(\frac{\|D_1\|}{m(A_1)}\right)^N \|u\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.9}$$

Thus for all small enough $\rho > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ satisfying $\kappa\gamma \ll 1$, we see

$$\frac{1}{1-\kappa\gamma}\, \frac{\|D_1\|}{m(A_1)} \le \frac{\rho_1}{2} \tag{7.10}$$

for some $\rho_1 \in (0,1)$. Hence, there exists decomposition of the tangent bundle, $T_\Gamma B$ which is invariant under DF_{mod} and the invariant subbundles satisfies dominated splitting condition. Moreover, dominated splitting implies the continuity of invariant sections. □

[6] The vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^2$ depends on each point $w \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. Then $w \mapsto u(w)$ is a map from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}^2$.

[7] Use the matrix form in (7.4) with a vector on the cone field $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_N^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ -D_N^{-1}\, C_N\, A_N^{-1} & D_N^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The number one in the vector means the the number corresponding to the identity map.

Remark 7.1. In Lemma 7.2, the components of block matrix, A_1, D_1, A_N and D_N depend on each point $w \in \Gamma$. Thus $\dfrac{\|D_1(w)\|}{m(A_1(w))} < \dfrac{1}{2}\rho_w$ for some positive $\rho_w < 1$. Then the actual assumption is that the set, $\{\, \rho_w > 0 \,|\, w \in \Gamma \,\}$ is totally bounded above by the number less than $\frac{1}{2}$. However, since Γ is compact, the set $\{\, \rho_w \,|\, w \in \Gamma \,\}$ is precompact. Then ρ can be chosen as $\sup_{w\in\Gamma}\{\, \rho_w \,|\, w \in \Gamma \,\}$. Then κ in Lemma 7.2 is independent of $w \in \Gamma$. Moreover, the cone field $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ in Lemma 7.3 is contracted in uniform rate by $DF_{\rm mod}^{-1}$.

7.2. Tangent bundle splitting under a small perturbation of toy model map. The existence of invariant cone field under $DF_{\rm mod}$ is still true when a small perturbation of $DF_{\rm mod}$ is chosen. Let us consider the block diagonal matrix of DF. Let the following map be a *perturbation* of toy model map, $F_{\rm mod}(w) = (f(x) - \varepsilon_{2d}(x,y),\ x,\ \delta(w))$

$$F(w) = (f(x) - \varepsilon(w),\ x,\ \delta(w)) \tag{7.11}$$

where $\varepsilon(w) = \varepsilon_{2d}(x,y) + \widetilde{\varepsilon}(w)$. Thus $\partial_z\varepsilon(w) = \partial_z\widetilde{\varepsilon}(w)$.

$$DF = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} & & \partial_z\varepsilon \\ & D\widetilde{F}_{2d} & 0 \\ \hline \partial_x\delta & \partial_y\delta & \partial_z\delta \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} A & B \\ \hline C & D \end{array}\right) \tag{7.12}$$

where $D\widetilde{F}_{2d} = \begin{pmatrix} f'(x) - \partial_x\varepsilon(w) & -\partial_y\varepsilon(w) \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Observe that if $B \equiv \mathbf{0}$, then F is $F_{\rm mod}$.

Let us quantify a small perturbation keeping invariance of cone fields under the assumption $b_1 \ll b_2$. One of the sufficient condition is that $\|\partial_z\varepsilon\| \ll b_F$ and $\|\delta\|_{C^1} \ll \|\partial_y\varepsilon\|$. See the lemma below.

Lemma 7.4. *Let F be a perturbation of the toy model map $F_{\rm mod}$ defined in* (7.11) *and A, B, C and D are components of block matrix of DF defined in* (7.12)*. Suppose that $\|D_1\| \le \frac{\rho_1}{2}\cdot m(A_1)$ for some $\rho_1 \in (0,1)$. Suppose also that $\|B\|\|C\| \le \rho_0 \cdot m(A)\cdot m(D)$ where $\rho_0 < \frac{\kappa\gamma}{2}$ for sufficiently small $\gamma > 0$. Then the cone field $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ defined on* (7.6) *is invariant under DF^{-1} .*

Proof. The matrix form of DF^{-1} is

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{-1} + \zeta_{11} & \zeta_{12} \\ -D^{-1}C(A^{-1} + \zeta_{11}) & D^{-1}\zeta_{22} \end{pmatrix} \tag{7.13}$$

where $\zeta_{12} = -(A - BD^{-1}C)^{-1}BD^{-1}$, $\zeta_{11} = -\zeta_{12}\,CA^{-1}$ and $\zeta_{22} = \mathrm{Id} - C\,\zeta_{12}$. [8] Thus

[8] If the bounded operator T has $\|T\| < 1$, then $\mathrm{Id} - T$ is invertible. Moreover,

$$(\mathrm{Id} - T)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T^{\,n}$$

Since, $\|T^n\| \le \|T\|^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\|(\mathrm{Id} - T)^{-1}\| \le \dfrac{1}{1 - \|T\|}$. Equivalently, we obtain the lower bound of the minimum expansion rate, $m(\mathrm{Id} - T) \ge 1 - \|T\|$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\zeta_{12}\|\|C\| &= \| -(A-BD^{-1}C)^{-1}BD^{-1}\|\|C\| \\
&\le \|(\mathrm{Id}\,-A^{-1}BD^{-1}C)^{-1}\|\|A^{-1}\|\|B\|\|D^{-1}\|\|C\| \\
&\le \frac{1}{1-\|A^{-1}BD^{-1}C\|}\cdot\frac{\|B\|\|C\|}{m(A)\,m(D)} \\
&\le \frac{1}{1-\rho_0}\cdot\rho_0 < \kappa\gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.14}
$$

Let us calculate the upper bound of $\|B\|$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|B\|\|C\| &< \frac{\kappa\gamma}{2}m(A)\,m(D) \\
\|B\| &< \frac{m(A)}{m(A)-\|D\|}\cdot m(A)\,m(D)\cdot\frac{\kappa\gamma}{2} \\
\frac{\|B\|}{m(D)} &< \frac{[m(A)]^2}{m(A)-\|D\|}\cdot\frac{\kappa\gamma}{2} \\
&\le \frac{m(A)}{2(1-\frac{\rho_1}{2})}\cdot\gamma = \frac{m(A)}{2-\rho_1}\cdot\gamma < m(A)\cdot\gamma
\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}
$$

Thus by (7.14) and (7.15),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\,\zeta_{12}\| &< \frac{1}{1-\rho_0}\cdot\frac{\|B\|}{m(A)\,m(D)}\cdot\gamma < \frac{\gamma}{m(A)}\cdot\frac{2}{2-\kappa\gamma}\cdot\frac{\|B\|}{m(D)} \\
&< \frac{\gamma}{m(A)}\cdot\frac{2}{2-\kappa\gamma}\cdot m(A)\cdot\gamma = \frac{2\gamma^2}{2-\kappa\gamma}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Take a vector $(u\ v)\in\mathbb{R}^2\times\mathbb{R}$ such that $\|u\|<\gamma\|v\|$ in the cone $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ at a point $w\in\Gamma$. We may assume that $\|v\|=1$, that is, $\|u\|<\gamma$. For the invariance of cone field under DF^{-1}, it suffice to show that

$$
\big\|\big[\,(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})u+\zeta_{12}\,\big]\big[\,-D^{-1}C(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})\,u+D^{-1}\zeta_{22}\,\big]^{-1}\big\| < \rho_2\gamma
$$

for some $\rho_2\in(0,1)$. Let us estimate the upper bound of norm of the first factor

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})\,u+\zeta_{12}\| &\le \|A^{-1}\,u\| + \|\,\zeta_{11}\,u+\zeta_{12}\| \\
&= \|A^{-1}u\| + \|\,\zeta_{12}\,(-CA^{-1}\,u+\mathrm{Id})\| \\
&\le \frac{\gamma}{m(A)} + \frac{2\gamma^2}{2-\kappa\gamma}\cdot(1+\kappa\gamma) \\
&= \frac{\gamma}{m(A)}\left[1+\frac{2(1+\kappa\gamma)\gamma}{2-\kappa\gamma}\cdot m(A)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}
$$

Let us consider the lower bound of the second factor

(7.17)

$$\begin{aligned} m(-D^{-1}C(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})\,u + D^{-1}\zeta_{22}) &\geq m(D^{-1})\, m(CA^{-1}u + C\,\zeta_{11}\,u - \zeta_{22}) \\ &= m(D^{-1})\, m(CA^{-1}u - C\,\zeta_{12}\,CA^{-1}u - \mathrm{Id} + C\,\zeta_{12}) \\ &= m(D^{-1})\, m(CA^{-1}u - C\,\zeta_{12}\,(CA^{-1}u - \mathrm{Id}) - \mathrm{Id}) \\ &= m(D^{-1})\, m(CA^{-1}u - \mathrm{Id} - C\,\zeta_{12}(CA^{-1}u - \mathrm{Id})) \\ &= m(D^{-1})\, m(\,[\mathrm{Id} - C\,\zeta_{12}]\,[CA^{-1}u - \mathrm{Id}]) \\ &\geq m(D^{-1})\, m(\mathrm{Id} - C\,\zeta_{12})\, m(CA^{-1}u - \mathrm{Id}) \\ &\geq \frac{(1-\kappa\gamma)(1-\kappa\gamma)}{\|D\|} = \frac{(1-\kappa\gamma)^2}{\|D\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the inequalities, (7.16) and (7.17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\|\left[\,(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})u + \zeta_{12}\,\right]\left[\,-D^{-1}C(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})\,u + D^{-1}\zeta_{22}\,\right]^{-1}\right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})\,u + \zeta_{12}\|}{m(-D^{-1}C(A^{-1}+\zeta_{11})\,u + D^{-1}\zeta_{22})} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma}{m(A)}\left[1 + \frac{2(1+\kappa\gamma)\gamma}{2-\kappa\gamma}\cdot m(A)\right]\cdot\frac{\|D\|}{(1-\kappa\gamma)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-\kappa\gamma)^2}\left[1 + \frac{2(1+\kappa\gamma)\gamma}{2-\kappa\gamma}\cdot m(A)\right]\cdot\frac{\|D\|}{m(A)}\,\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for small enough $\gamma > 0$, the constant, $\dfrac{1}{(1-\kappa\gamma)^2}\left[1 + \dfrac{2(1+\kappa\gamma)\gamma}{2-\kappa\gamma}\cdot m(A)\right]$ is less than two. Hence, the cone field $\mathcal{C}(\gamma)$ is invariant under DF.

□

Then the tangent bundle $T_\Gamma B$ has the splitting with subbundles $E^1 \oplus E^2$ such that

(1) $T_\Gamma B = E^1 \oplus E^2$.

(2) Both E^1 and E^2 are invariant under DF.

(3) $\|DF^n|_{E^1(x)}\|\|DF^{-n}|_{E^2(F^{-n}(x))}\| \leq C\mu^n$ for some $C > 0$ and $0 < \mu < 1$ and $n \geq 1$.

Thus $T_\Gamma B$ has *dominated splitting* over the compact invariant set Γ. Moreover, the dominated splitting implies that invariant sections are continuous by Theorem 1.2 in [New]. Then the maps, $w \mapsto E^i(w)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are continuous.

7.3. Non existence of continuous invariant line field over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. Toy model map F_{mod} with invariant splitting over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ satisfying Lemma 7.3 has the continuous invariant plane field. Moreover, the set of lines perpendicular to $xy-$plane,

$$\bigcup_{(x_0,y_0)\in I^x\times I^y} \{(x_0, y_0, z) \mid z \in I^z\}$$

is forward invariant under F_{mod}. Thus F_{mod} is semi-conjugate to $F_{2d} \equiv \pi_{xy} \circ F_{\mathrm{mod}}$ by the projection, π_{xy}. Sufficient condition of the existence of invariant plane field in Lemma 7.3 can be substituted by the condition $b_2 \ll b_1$ by Lemma A.1.

Lemma 7.5. *Let F_{mod} be in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with $b_2 \ll b_1$. If each line perpendicular to $xy-$plane in* $\mathrm{Dom}(F)$ *over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ is the local strong stable manifold of $w \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. Moreover,*

$$W^{ss}(w) \cap \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}} = \{w\}$$

for each $w \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$.

Proof. By cone field construction in Lemma 7.3, invariant direction represent $\partial_z \delta$ is unique in each cones. However, each line perpendicular to $xy-$plane in $\mathrm{Dom}(F)$ over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ has already invariant direction in each cones. Then these two invariant directions are equal by the uniqueness. It is the strongest contracting direction which is one dimensional. However, at each point of $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$, the stable manifold is two dimensional. Thus strongest one dimensional direction is for the strong stable manifold. Moreover, the constant invariant direction implies that straight lines are the local strong stable manifolds.

If w and w' in $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ is in the same (strong) stable manifold, then $\mathrm{dist}(F^n_{\mathrm{mod}}(w), F^n_{\mathrm{mod}}(w'))$ converges to zero as $n \to \infty$. However, each point of the critical Cantor set is the limit of nested sequence of boxes, for instance,

$$\{p\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}$$

for every $p \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. Thus if $w \neq w'$, then each boxes $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}$ and $B^n_{\mathbf{w}'_n}$ for w and w' respectively are disjoint from each other for every big enough n. Since box, $B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}$ with any word $\mathbf{w} \in W^n$ is invariant under $F^{2^{n+1}}$, $\mathrm{dist}(F^n_{\mathrm{mod}}(w),\ F^n_{\mathrm{mod}}(w')) \geq c > 0$ for all sufficiently big n. Then the fact that $\mathrm{dist}(F^n_{\mathrm{mod}}(w),\ F^n_{\mathrm{mod}}(w')) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ implies that $w = w'$. □

Lemma 7.6. *Let F_{mod} be in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with $b_2 \ll b_1$. Then each strong stable manifold of the point, $w \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ in the critical Cantor set, $W^{ss}(w)$ meets a single point of the critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_{2d}$. In particular, π_{xy} is a bijection between $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F_{2d}}$.*

Proof. Since $F_{2d} = \pi_{xy} \circ F_{\mathrm{mod}}$ and $\pi_{xy} \circ R^n F_{\mathrm{mod}} = R^n F_{2d}$ for each $n \geq 1$, we obtain that $\pi_{xy}\big(B^n_{\mathbf{w}_n}\big)$ is the box for F_{2d} for every $\mathbf{w}_n \in W^n$ and for every $n \geq 1$. Passing the limit, $\pi_{xy}(w)$ for every $w \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ is well defined. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}_{F_{2d}}$ is the limit of boxes which is the image of three dimensional boxes under π_{xy}. Thus π_{xy} is onto map. Furthermore, the fact that π_{xy} is the map along $W^{ss}(w)$ for each $w \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ implies that π_{xy} is one-to-one by Lemma 7.5. □

The invariant splitting with uniform contraction implies the existence of invariant single surfaces contains the given invariant compact set.

Definition 7.1. A submanifold Q which contains Γ is *locally invariant* under f if there exists a neighborhood U of Γ in Q such that $f(U) \subset Q$.

The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of these submanifolds, see [CP] or [BC].

Theorem 7.7 ([BC]). *Let Γ be an invariant compact set with a dominated splitting $T_\Gamma M = E^1 \oplus E^2$ such that E^1 is uniformly contracted. Then Γ is contained in a locally invariant C^1 submanifold tangent to E^2 if and only if the strong stable leaves for the bundle E^1 intersect the set Γ at only one point.*

It is remarkable that invariant submanifolds in Theorem 7.7 are robust under C^1 perturbation by [BC]. By Lemma 7.5 and the above Theorem 7.7, there exist C^1 single surfaces which contain $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ and tangent to invariant plane field at each point in $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$.

Let Q be a locally invariant surface which is tangent to invariant plane field and which contains $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. Since the lines perpendicular to $xy-$plane is strong stable manifolds, Q be the graph of C^1 function ξ from a subset of $I^x \times I^y$ to I^z. The map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, \xi(x, y))$, say the graph map of ξ, is a C^1 diffeomorphism. Moreover, the map, $\pi_{xy}|_Q$ is the inverse of the graph map of ξ. For notational simplicity, denote $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{mod}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{F_{2d}}$ by $\mathcal{O}_{2d}$. Abusing notation let the graph map, $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y, \xi(x, y))$ be just ξ unless it makes any confusion.

Theorem 7.8. *Let F_{mod} be in $\mathcal{I}(\bar{\varepsilon})$ with $b_2 \ll b_1$. Let Q be a locally invariant surface tangent to the continuous invariant plane field, say E over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$. Then any invariant line field in E over $\mathcal{O}_{F_{\mathrm{mod}}}$ is discontinuous at the tip, τ_F.*

Proof. Denote $\mathrm{Dom}(F_{2d})$ by P. Since the graph map ξ is a C^1 diffeomorphism, the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{\mathcal{O}_{2d}}P & \xrightarrow{(D\xi,\,\xi)} & T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{mod}}}Q \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' \\ \mathcal{O}_{2d} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{mod}} \end{array}$$

where the tangent map is $(D\xi, \xi)(v, w) = (D\xi(w) \cdot v,\ \xi(w))$ for each $(v, w) \in T_{\mathcal{O}_{2d}}P$ and both π and π' are the projections from the bundle to the base space, that is, for each $(v, w) \in$ bundle, $\pi(v, w) = w$ and $\pi'(v, w) = w$ respectively.

The image of any invariant tangent subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}_{2d}}P$ is an invariant subbundle of $T_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{mod}}}Q$. Thus without loss of generality, we may assume that $(D\xi, \xi)(E^1_{2d}) = E^1$. Let γ and γ' be the invariant sections under F_{2d} and $F|_Q$ respectively.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^1_{2d} & \xrightarrow{(D\xi,\,\xi)} & E^1 \\ \gamma \uparrow & & \uparrow \gamma' \\ \mathcal{O}_{2d} & \xrightarrow{\xi} & \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{mod}} \end{array}$$

Since ξ is C^1 function, the tangent map $(D\xi, \xi)$ is continuous at $(v, w) \in E^1_{2d}$. Then the section γ is continuous if and only if γ' is continuous because ξ is a diffeomorphism. However, any invariant line field under DF_{2d} on the Cantor set $\mathcal{O}_{2d}$ is not continuous at the tip, $\tau_{F_{2d}}$

by Proposition 9.3 in [dCLM]. Hence, there is no continuous invariant line field under $DF|_Q$ on any C^1 invariant surface Q under F. □

Appendix A

Lyapunov exponents and splitting of tangent bundle

Three dimensional Hénon-like map has two non zero Lyapunov exponents on its critical Cantor set, $\mathcal{O}_F$. Recall that $\partial_z\delta \asymp b_2$ for all $w \in B$ by Proposition 7.1. The number b_2 is defined as b/b_1 where b and b_1 is the average Jacobian of $F_{\rm mod}$ and F_{2d} respectively. Actually two negative numbers, $\log b_1$ and $\log b_2$ are the exponents which affect the dynamical properties in two dimensional Hénon-like map and contraction rate along $z-$direction respectively. We would see that $m(DF_{2d}^{2^n})$ is bounded above by $b_1^{2^n}$ for all $w \in B$ but it is not much smaller than $b_1^{2^n}$ (See Lemma A.1 below).
Recall that $\operatorname{Jac} F_{2d}$ is $\partial_y\varepsilon(x,y)$. Since F_{2d} is an orientation preserving diffeomorphism, $\partial_y\varepsilon(x,y)$ has the positive infimum. Let this infimum be m_{2d}. Similarly, define $m_{2d,\,n} = \inf\{\,\partial_y\varepsilon_n(x,y) \mid (x,\,y) \in B(R^nF_{2d})\,\}$ for n^{th} renormalized map, $F_{2d,\,n} \equiv R^nF_{2d}$. Recall that $\Psi^n_{0,\,2d} = (\alpha_{n,\,0}\,(x + S_0^n(w) + \sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0}\cdot y,\ \sigma_{n,\,0}\cdot y)$. Thus the derivative of $\Psi^n_{0,\,2d}$ at the tip is as follows

$$D\Psi^n_{0,\,2d} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,0}\,(1+\partial_x S_0^n) & \alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot\partial_y S_0^n + \sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0} \\ 0 & \sigma_{n,\,0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma A.1. *Let $F_{\rm mod} \in \mathcal{I}(\bar\varepsilon)$ with sufficiently small $\bar\varepsilon > 0$. Then the infimum of the derivative of two dimensional map, $m(DF_{2d}^{2^n}) \asymp \sigma^n b_1^{2^n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Firstly, let us show that $m(DF_{2d}^{2^n}) \lesssim \sigma^n b_1^{2^n}$. If $n = 1$, then we get the upper bound of $m(DF_{2d})$ as follows

$$\frac{1}{m(DF_{2d})} = \|DF_{2d}^{-1}\| \geq \left\| \frac{1}{\partial_y\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_y\varepsilon \\ -1 & f'(x) - \partial_x\varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \geq \frac{1}{\partial_y\varepsilon(x,y)}.$$

Then $m(DF_{2d}) \leq \partial_y\varepsilon(x,y) = \operatorname{Jac} F_{2d}$ for every point (x,y). Similarly, since F_{2d} is infinitely renormalizable, $m(DF_{2d,\,n}) \leq \partial_y\varepsilon_n(x,y) = \operatorname{Jac} F_{2d,\,n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $m(DF_{2d,\,n}) \leq m_{2d,\,n}$. Let us generalize the above idea for the estimating the norm of $DF_{2d}^{-2^n}$. For example,

$$\sqrt{a^2+c^2} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} a \\ c \end{pmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \leq \sup_{\|(v_1\ v_2)\|=1} \left\{ \left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \right\}.$$

Thus a lower bound of $\|DF_{2d}^{-2^n}\|$ is determined by the length of the first column of $DF_{2d}^{-2^n}$. Recall that $F^{2^n} \circ \Psi^n_{\rm tip} = \Psi^n_{\rm tip} \circ F_n$, that is,

$$F^{-2^n} = \Psi^n_{\rm tip} \circ F_n^{-1} \circ (\Psi^n_{\rm tip})^{-1}.$$

Since composition of linear functions does not affect the norm of derivative, let us use Ψ_0^n instead of $\Psi_{\rm tip}^n$ in order to simplify notations. Let us choose points, w'', w' and w with following relation

$$w'' \xmapsto{(\Psi_{\rm tip}^n)^{-1}} w' \xmapsto{F_n^{-1}} w.$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n(w) =&\ (\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot(x+S_0^n(w))+\sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0}\cdot y,\ \sigma_{n,\,0}\cdot y)\\ F_{n,\,2d}(w) =&\ (f_n(x)-\varepsilon_n(w),\ x).\end{aligned}$$

Then

(A.1)

$$\begin{aligned}&DF_{2d}^{-2^n}(w'')\\ =&\ D\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n(w)\cdot DF_n^{-1}(w')\cdot D(\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n)^{-1}(w'')\\ =&\ D\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n(w)\cdot\big[\,DF_n^{-1}(w)\,\big]^{-1}\cdot\big[\,D(\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n)^{-1}(w')\,\big]^{-1}\\ =&\ \begin{pmatrix}\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot(1+\partial_x S_0^n(w)) & \alpha_{n,\,0}\,\partial_y S_0^n(w)+\sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0}\\ 0 & \sigma_{n,\,0}\end{pmatrix}\cdot\frac{1}{\partial_y\varepsilon_n(w)}\begin{pmatrix}0 & \partial_y\varepsilon_n(w)\\ -1 & f_n'(x)-\partial_x\varepsilon_n(w)\end{pmatrix}\\ &\cdot\frac{1}{\sigma_{n,\,0}\,\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot(1+\partial_x S_0^n(w))}\begin{pmatrix}\sigma_{n,\,0} & -\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot\partial_y S_0^n(w)-\sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0}\\ 0 & \alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot(1+\partial_x S_0^n(w)).\end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Let us choose temporary expression of $D\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n(w)\cdot\big[\,DF_n^{-1}(w)\,\big]^{-1}\cdot\big[\,D(\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n)^{-1}(w')\,\big]^{-1}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}DF_{2d}^{-2^n}(w'') =&\ \begin{pmatrix}A_1 & B_1\\ 0 & \sigma_{n,\,0}\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}0 & 1\\ C_2 & D_2\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}A_3 & B_3\\ 0 & \sigma_{n,\,0}^{-1}\end{pmatrix}\\ =&\ \begin{pmatrix}B_1C_2A_3 & B_1C_2B_3+(A_1+B_1D_2)\,\sigma_{n,\,0}^{-1}\\ \sigma_{n,\,0}\,C_2A_3 & \sigma_{n,\,0}\,C_2B_3+D_2\end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}B_1C_2A_3 =&\ -\frac{1}{\partial_y\varepsilon_n(w)}\cdot\frac{\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot\partial_y S_0^n(w)+\sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0}}{\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot(1+\partial_x S_0^n(w'))}\\ =&\ -\frac{1}{b_1^{2^n}}\cdot\frac{1}{(-\sigma)^n}\cdot\frac{t_{*,\,0}+O(\sigma^n)}{v_*(x-\pi_x(\tau_\infty))}\big(1+O(\rho^n)\big)\\ \sigma_{n,\,0}\,C_2A_3 =&\ \sigma_{n,\,0}\cdot\left(-\frac{1}{\partial_y\varepsilon_n(w)}\right)\cdot\frac{1}{\alpha_{n,\,0}\cdot(1+\partial_x S_0^n(w'))}\\ =&\ -\frac{1}{b_1^{2^n}}\cdot\frac{1}{(-\sigma)^n}\cdot\frac{1}{v_*(x-\pi_x(\tau_\infty))}\big(1+O(\rho^n)\big).\end{aligned}$$

By the universality of two dimensional Hénon-like maps, $1+\partial_x S_0^n(w)=v_*'(x)+O(\rho^n)$ where $v_*(x)$ is a diffeomorphism on its domain and $\partial_y\varepsilon_n\asymp b_1^{2^n}$. Moreover, $|\,\sigma_{n,\,0}|\asymp\sigma^n$, $\alpha_{n,\,0}\asymp\sigma^{2n}$,

$|\,t_{n,0}| = O(\bar{\varepsilon})$, and $\partial_y S_0^n = a_F y + O(\rho^n)$ for $a_F = O(\bar{\varepsilon})$. For the detailed proof about the above asymptotic of two dimensional Hénon-like maps, see Section 7 in [dCLM]. Then

$$\frac{C_0}{\sigma^n b_1^{2^n}} \le \|DF_{2d}^{-2^n}\|$$

for some $C_0 > 0$ independent of n.

Secondly, let us estimate an upper bound of the norm of $DF_{2d}^{-2^n}$. Let us observe the following fact for later use. The unit vector $\binom{v_1}{v_2}$, that is, $v_1^2 + v_2^2 = 1$, satisfies the following inequality by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \le \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}. \tag{A.2}$$

Moreover, if $ad - bc \neq 0$, then

$$\left\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| \le \frac{1}{|ad - bc|} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2}. \tag{A.3}$$

Recall the equation

$$F_{2d}^{-2^n} = \Psi_0^n \circ F_{2d,\,n}^{-1} \circ (\Psi_0^n)^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\|DF_{2d}^{-2^n}\| \le \|D\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n\| \cdot \|DF_{2d,\,n}^{-1}\| \cdot \|D(\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n)^{-1}\|.$$

By (A.2) and (A.3), the upper bounds of norms are as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\|D\Psi_{0,\,2d}^n\|^2 &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{n,\,0}\,(1 + \partial_x S_0^n) & \alpha_{n,\,0}\,\partial_y S_0^n + \sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0} \\ 0 & \sigma_{n,\,0} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\
&\le \sup_{w \in\, B(R^n F)} \{\alpha_{n,\,0}^2 (1 + \partial_x S_0^n)^2 + (\alpha_{n,\,0}\,\partial_y S_0^n + \sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0})^2 + \sigma_n^2\} \\
\|DF_{2d,\,n}^{-1}\|^2 &= \left\| \frac{1}{\partial_y \varepsilon_n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_y \varepsilon_n \\ -1 & f_n'(x) - \partial_x \varepsilon_n \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\
&\le \sup_{w \in\, B(R^n F)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\partial_y \varepsilon_n)^2} \big((\partial_y \varepsilon_n)^2 + 1 + (f_n'(x) - \partial_x \varepsilon_n)^2 \big) \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|DF_{2d}^{-2^n}\|^2 \le & \sup_{w \in\, B(R^n F)} \{\alpha_{n,\,0}^2 (1 + \partial_x S_0^n)^2 + (\alpha_{n,\,0}\,\partial_y S_0^n + \sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0})^2 + \sigma_n^2\} \\
& \cdot \sup_{w \in\, B(R^n F)} \left\{ \frac{1}{(\partial_y \varepsilon_n)^2} \big((\partial_y \varepsilon_n)^2 + 1 + (f_n'(x) - \partial_x \varepsilon_n)^2 \big) \right\} \\
& \cdot \sup_{w \in\, B(F)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\alpha_{n,\,0}^2 (1 + \partial_x S_0^n)^2 \cdot \sigma_{n,\,0}^2} \Big[\alpha_{n,\,0}^2 (1 + \partial_x S_0^n)^2 + (\alpha_{n,\,0}\,\partial_y S_0^n + \sigma_{n,\,0}\,t_{n,\,0})^2 + \sigma_n^2 \Big] \right\} \\
& \le \frac{C_2}{b_1^{2^{n+1}} \sigma^{2n}}
\end{aligned}$$

for some $C_2 > 0$. Then

$$\|DF_{2d}^{-2^n}\| \leq \frac{C_1}{b_1^{2^n}\sigma^n}$$

where $C_1 > 0$ is independent of n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $m(DF_{2d}^{2^n}) \asymp b_1^{2^n}\sigma^n$. □

By the above lemma, we obtain the estimation of $m(DF_{2d}^N)$ for each N. Each natural number N can be expressed as a dyadic number. Let us assume that

$$N = \sum_{j=0}^{k} 2^{m_j}$$

where $m_k > m_{k-1} > \cdots > m_1 > m_0 \geq 0$. Then we estimate the minimum expansion rate as follows

$$m(DF_{2d}^N) \geq Cb_1^N\sigma^{\sum_{j=0}^k m_j}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of n. Observe that $\log_2 N \asymp \sum_{j=0}^k m_j$ for each big enough N. Let us choose a number smaller than σ. For example, let us take $\frac{1}{4} < \sigma$. Thus

$$\sigma^{\sum_{j=0}^k m_j} \asymp \sigma^{\log_2 N} \geq \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^{\log_2 N} = \frac{1}{N^2}$$

Then the minimum expansion rate has the lower bound as follows

$$m(DF_{2d}^N) \geq C\frac{b_1^N}{N^\alpha}$$

where $-\alpha < \log_2 \sigma < 0$ for some $C > 0$.

References

[AMdM01] Artur Avila, Marco Martens, and Welington de Melo. *On the dynamics of the renormalization operator*, pages 449 - 460. Institute of Physics Publication, 2001.

[BB] Karen M. Brucks and Henk Bruin. Topics from one-dimensional dynamics, 2004.

[BC] Christian Bonatti and Sylvain Crovisier. Center manifolds for partially hyperbolic set without strong unstable connections, 2014.

[CEK] Pierre Coullet, Jean-Pierre Eckmann, and Hans Koch. Period doubling bifurcations for families of maps on $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Journal of Statistical Physics,* 25(1):1-14, 1981.

[CP] Sylvain Crovisier and Enrique R. Pujals. Essential hyperbolicity and homoclinic bifurcations. IMPA preprint, November 2010.

[dCLM] Andre de Carvalho, Mikhail Lyubich, and Marco Martens. Renormalization in the Hénon family I. *Journal of Statistical Physics,* 121:611-699, 2005.

[dFdMP] Edson de Faria, Welington de Melo, and Alberto Pinto. Global hyperbolicity of renormalization for C^r unimodal mappings. *Annals of Mathematics,* 164:731-824, 2006.

[dMP] Welington de Melo and Alberto Pinto. Rigidity of C^2 infinitely renormalizable unimodal maps. *Communications in Mathematical Physics,* 208(1):91-105, December 1999.

[HLM] Peter Hazard, Mikhail Lyubich, and Marco Martens. Renormalizable Hénon-like map and unbounded geometry. *Nonlinearity,* 25(2):397-420, 2012.

[Lyu] Mikhail Lyubich. Feigenbaum-Coullet-Tresser Universality and Milnor's hairness conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics,* 147:543-584, 1998.

[Nam] Young Woo Nam. *Renormalization of three dimensional Hénon-like map.* PhD thesis, Stony Brook University, December 2011.

[New] Sheldon Newhouse. Cone fields, domination and hyperbolicity. In *Morden dynamical systems and application,* pages 419-432. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[PS] Charles Pugh and Michael Shub. Ergodic attractors. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society,* 312(1):1-54, March 1989.

Hong-ik University